FLOOD PLAN COORDINATION ORGANIZATION (FPCO)

pal

KREDITANSTALT FÜR WIEDERAUFBAU (KfW)

CAISSE CENTRALE DE COOPERATION ECONOMIQUE (CCCE)

BANK PROTECTION AND RIVER TRAINING (AFPM) PILOT PROJECT FAP 21/22



13N-655 A-802 **PROGRESS REPORT** AND **ADMINISTRATIVE & FINANCIAL REPORT NO. 3**



JULY TO SEPTEMBER 1992



CONSULTING CONSORTIUM FAP 21/22

RHEIN-RUHR ING.-GES.MBH, DORTMUND/GERMANY

COMPAGNIE NATIONALE DU RHONE, LYON/FRANCE PROF.DR. LACKNER&PARTNERS, BREMEN/GERMANY DELFT HYDRAULICS, DELFT/NETHERLANDS

In association with:

BANGLADESH ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGICAL SERVICES LTD.(BETS) DESH UPODESH LIMITED (DUL)



BANK PROTECTION AND RIVER TRAINING (AFPM) PILOT PROJECT FAP 21/22

FLOOD PLAN CO-ORDINATION ORGANIZATION (FPCO)

Project Office : Consulting Consortium FAP 21/22 House 4, Road 125, Gulshan-1 Dhaka-1212, Bangladesh Tel : (880-2) 600751 Fax : (880-2) 883990

The Chief Engineer Flood Plan Coordination Organization 7, Green Road Dhaka

Our Ref: CC/FPCO/L/92-759

October 5, 1992

Subj : Progress Report/Administrative & Financial Report No. 3

Dear Sir,

I have pleasure in attaching 5 copies of the Progress Report together with the Administrative and Financial Report No. 3 as discussed.

Please note that, based on the comments to the Interim Report, some additional works have comeup which are described in Chapter 3 of the Progress Report. The additional staffing and costs resulting from these additional works are included in Chapter B.1 and C.4 of the Administrative and Financial Report respectively. The costs are staying well below the contract amount.

Due to the tight schedule I kindly request your approval/response to the proposed procedures as fast as possible, preferably within a week's time, in order to make the necessary arrangements for staffing and negotiating the subcontracts.

Sincerely yours,

Dr H Brühl Project Director

Encl: 5 copies of the report in caption.



HB/SK

FLOOD PLAN COORDINATION ORGANIZATION (FPCO)

KREDITANSTALT FÜR WIEDERAUFBAU (KfW)

CAISSE CENTRALE DE COOPERATION ECONOMIQUE (CCCE)

BANK PROTECTION AND RIVER TRAINING (AFPM) PILOT PROJECT FAP 21/22

PROGRESS REPORT AND ADMINISTRATIVE & FINANCIAL REPORT NO. 3

JULY TO SEPTEMBER 1992



CONSULTING CONSORTIUM FAP 21/22

RHEIN-RUHR ING.-GES.MBH, DORTMUND/GERMANY

COMPAGNIE NATIONALE DU RHONE, LYON/FRANCE PROF.DR. LACKNER&PARTNERS, BREMEN/GERMANY DELFT HYDRAULICS, DELFT/NETHERLANDS In association with:

BANGLADESH ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGICAL SERVICES LTD.(BETS) DESH UPODESH LIMITED (DUL)

IBANIK IPIROTTECTTION AND IRIVIER TIRAINING (AJFIPMI) IPIILOT IPIROJIECT IFAIP 21/22

PROGRESS REPORT 30 SEPTEMBER, 1992

List of Contents

1	GEN	ERAL	1
	1.1 1.2 1.3	The Project The Report Personnel Deployment	1 1 1
2	TEC	HNICAL ASPECTS	3
	2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6 2.7 2.8 2.9	Engineering Investigations Mathematical Model Tests Physical Model Tests Filter Test Rig Investigations FAP 21 Design Works FAP 22 Investigations Seminar on Filter Technology Construction Management Draft Final Reports	3 5 6 6 6 7 7 7 7
3	UPD	ATED WORK PLAN	8
	 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 	Introduction Preliminary FAP 21 Investment Report Pre-investigation of Additional Test Areas 3.3.1 Introduction 3.3.2 Implication for the Work Plan Monitoring Works Under River Bank Protection 1 Project Transition from Planning Study to Test and Implementation Phase Updated Work Flow Chart	8 9 9 10 12 12

4 UPDATED STAFFING SCHEDULE

IBANIK IPIROTTECTTION (IFAIP 21) ANID IRIVIEIR TIRAINING/AIFIPM (IFAIP 22) IPIILOT IPIROJIECT

PROGRESS REPORT

(For the period since Interim Report submission to September 30, 1992)

1 GENERAL

1.1 THE PROJECT

After submission of Interim Report, the Bank Protection and River Training/Active Flood Plain Management Studies are investigating means of refining the design and improve the construction/maintenance of stabilization works and also investigating methods of possibly employing the river's own fluvial processes to stabilize its course and to reduce sudden channel modifications. Because of the scales of problems and costs involved, there is large scope for developing cost-effective methods. Experiences of FAP studies like FAP 1 and FAP 9B were utilized in the formulation of basic study criteria.

To achieve the aforesaid goals, a combination of pilot project components FAP 21 and 22 concentrating on the Jamuna, which are interrelated to and independent from each other at the same time are undertaking studies directing towards the practical designs and actual implementation of Test Works and preparation of medium and long-term strategies respectively.

1.2 THE REPORT

As per section 12.01 and Appendix 1 of the Consulting Agreement, a Progress Report is due at the end of 11th month which has been rescheduled to be submitted at the end of 10th month i.e. September, 1992. This report is the Progress Report as indicated above and spells out the work progress of Consulting Services in the period since submission of Interim Report to the end of September, 1992 i.e. 10th month of Consulting period.

The report presents for the two components of the pilot project, a description in brief of the activities performed during this period, a review of the problems and recommendations for the improvements.

1.3 PERSONNEL DEPLOYMENT

Besides five long term expatriate Consultants and their local counterparts continuing works from previous months, short term experts and their local counterparts in the fields of agrosocio-economy took up their assignment for the second time for their mid-period input

FAP 21/22, PROGRESS REPORT

leading to final assessment. Also the Advisory Board Members arrived for their mid period contributions. These fieldings of professionals have been done as per Staffing Schedule -

Personnel deployment during the period is as below:

A. Expatriate

Planning Study, see Table 4-2.

- 1 Dr H Brühl, Project Director (home office work and home leave 01.8.92 to 25.8.92)
- 2 Prof. J F Agema, Advisory Board Member (11.9. to 17.9.92)
- 2 Prof. R Floss, Advisory Board Member (3.9. to 8.9.92)
- 2 Prof. Wan Zhaohui, Advisory Board Member (15.9. to 29.9.92)
- 2 Prof. H J Vollmers, Advisory Board Member (15.9. to 26.9.92)
- 5.1 Mr K Schröder, TL FAP 21 (Departure 15.9.92)
- 5.1 Dr H Kramer, Subsoil Engineer (1.9 to 15.9.92) from 16.9. replacing TL FAP 21
- 6.1 Mr M v d Wal, Modelling Expert (home leave 5.8. to 24.8.92)
- 7.1 Mr E Doutriaux, Phys. Mod. Expert (departure on home leave 31.8.92)
- 7.1 Mr E Divet, Replacement Phys. Mod. Expert (arrival 25.8.92)
- 10.1 Mr J Heise, Surveyor (home leave 29.7. to 30.9.92)
- 12.1 Mr C Netzeband, Cons. Man. Expert (continued from before)
- 13.1 Mr C Potin, Socio-economist (arrival 11.9.92)
- 17.1 Mr F v d Knaap, TL FAP 22 (continued from before)
- 18.1 Mr E Elizechea, Land Reclamation Expert (arrival 13.9.92)
- 20.1 Mr P C Lagache, Agri.Dev.Specialist (arrival 11.9.92)

B. Local

- 4.2 S M Mansur, Deputy Proj. Manager
- 5.2 A Q M Ali, Hydraulic Design Engr.
- 6.2 J Islam, Modelling Expert
- 7.2 Q Saifuddin, Phys. Mod. Expert
- 9.2 M Salahuddin, Morphologist
- 10.2 A H M Kamal, Surveyor
- 11.2 M Haque, Subsoil Expert
- 12.2 Mohiuddin, Construction Management Expert
- 13.2 Dr K Nizamuddin, Socio-Economist (took up assignment for second time on 13.9.92)
- 13.3 M A Jabbar, Land Acq. Specialist (took up assignment for second time on 13.9.92)
- 13.2 A H Mia, Land Rec. Expert (took up assignment for second time on 13.9.92)
- 17.3 S R Khan, River Training Engineer-2
- 19 H I Bhuiyan, Legal Advisor (took up assignment on 15.9.92)

2 TECHNICAL ASPECTS

2.1 ENGINEERING INVESTIGATIONS

Engineering Investigations for the Planning Study Phase of Bank Protection and River Training/AFPM Pilot Project for the Jamuna River started from beginning of the Project and are still continuing. Some investigations are completed, some are being refined with new data and are being prepared for inclusion in the draft final report. Status of these investigations are described briefly below:

• **Morphological studies**: Remote sensing techniques aided by computer are being applied to satellite imageries for the study on morphological predictions and plan form analyses. The study is a continuous process feeding new data and now at a stage of final refinement with the latest available data (imagery).

The data of the cross-section and the measured discharges near Bahadurabad has ben collected and analyzed. The following parameters and aspects were determined from these data: the conveyance of the whole cross-section and the relative conveyance of the individual channels, the hypothetical cyclicity in the location of the 50% conveyance point in that cross-section and the verification of different prediction methods for hydraulic roughness.

The analysis of the number of channels in a cross-section was continued with the cross-sectional data of different years.

The forthcoming results as expected are:

- a. finalization of selection of test sites and areas
- b. determination of the characteristics of the Jamuna River
- c. study of morphological processes in the Jamuna River
- d. verification of future morphological model of planform changes of a braided, sand bed river and
- e. development of AFPM strategies under FAP 22.
- Agro-Socio-Economic Study: Two selected sites as reported in the Interim Report viz. Kamarjani (North of Manos Regulator) and Bahadurabad (Belgacha) are being investigated by the agro-socio-economic group to collect informations on present agro-socio-economic data. A cross-section of local people including local public representatives at both the places were interviewed by the group, exchanged opinion and apprised the proposed project for their understanding. Positive responses were received from the local people about the project.

While erosion was severe at Manos Regulator and up at Kamarjani site, it was comparatively mild at Belgacha, the Bahadurabad site. However, further investigations are continuing on these two sites and other probable sites as well and will be finalized and reported in Draft Final Report of FAP 21. Hydraulic Design Engineers both expatriate and local are carefully watching the situation.

• **Topographic and Hydrographic surveys:** Subcontracted topographic and Hydrographic surveys were completed and preparation of maps of the surveyed areas are also complete. The maps are being used for preparation of preliminary design to be presented in the Draft Final Report.

The Topographic and Hydrographic surveys comprised the following:

- a. Field works
 - i) Erection of pillars
 - ii) Height connection of BM
 - iii) Height connection of pillars
 - iv) Erection of temporary gauges
 - v) Traverse survey
 - vi) Cross-section survey, water
 - vii) Cross-section survey, land
- b. Drawings
 - i) Layout plans
 - ii) Cross-sections
- **Hydrometric measurements**: During the reporting period some hydrometric measurements were carried out in front of the Kamarjani test site and of the Manos river for the purpose of testing the equipment and of having a first idea on the flow velocities and the water depths.
- **Geotechnical Investigations**: Geotechnical investigations were also subcontracted field works, laboratory testing of soil samples and evaluation of soil properties have all been completed. The investigations include the following:
 - i) Execution of bore holes, depth 20-40 m
 - Extraction of disturbed and undisturbed soil samples from bore holes/adjacent test pits
 - iii) Execution of Standard Penetration Test (SPT) at 1.5 m interval
 - iv) Execution of Cone Penetration Test (CPT)
 - v) Installation of sand pipe piezometers for in-situ soil permeability tests and observation of ground water levels

- vi) Laboratory testing of disturbed and undisturbed samples
- vii) Compilation of field and laboratory test result including analysis, evaluation and preparation of comprehensive final report.

2.2 MATHEMATICAL MODEL TESTS

Mathematical model tests are carried out by

- 1-D Mathematical modelling using Mike 11 software package
- 2-D Mathematical modelling being carried out at Delft Hydraulics
- Simplified mathematical model for investigation of recurrent AFPM measures.

These model test investigations are continued attempting further refinements to yield desirable results. These are briefly summarized below:

- **1-D Mathematical Modelling**: Using Mike 11 package Modules for Hydrodynamic (HD) and Non-cohesive Sediment Transport (NST), hydrodynamic and morphologic computations are carried out to study the effectivity of recurrent measures for active flood plain management. Calculation of flow at a bifurcation to simulate surface screen and roughness computation for Bahadurabad reach of Jamuna are being currently investigated.
- **2-D Mathematical Modelling:** After analysis of the data of the field surveys in the test sites near Kamarjani and Bahadurabad the set-up and the layout of 2 local scour models were prepared on the basis of the morphologic predictions of future bank lines in these areas. With these data the length and width of the area which is simulated in the 2-dimensional mathematical model is determined. The grid size has been selected mainly on the basis of the size of the test structures and the required computing time. The details of the flow field which should be represented in the mathematical model depend on the size of the test structures.

A complication for defining the boundaries of the mathematical model is the uncertainty of the predicted future bank lines. It is desirable to have a flexible boundary, that means that without much additional work a new run with a slightly different bank line can be made.

End of August all data needed to define the bed geometry and the boundary conditions for the mathematical model were available. The preparation of the mathematical model of the test area near Kamarjani started early in September with the preparation of the bed geometry file. First results of the Kamarjani model are expected half October. In the mean time the preparation of the mathematical model of the test site area near Bahadurabad started mid September and the computations are planned to be completed end of October.

• Simplified Mathematical Model: The situation in one single channel subject to a time constant over loading is being investigated by this Simplified Mathematical Model. It is used to serve as a basic tool to describe the aggradation process in an outflanking channel under the condition of redistribution of flow at the bifurcation. This approach is justified due to the 'tailor made' output for a fast analysis to estimate the technical feasibility of using recurrent measures at bifurcations.

2.3 PHYSICAL MODEL TESTS

- Physical model test for investigating the Local Scour Study was undertaken in Delft Hydraulics Laboratory at de Voorst and preliminary results were presented in the Interim Report. Further analyses are being carried out of the collected data and more detailed conclusions and recommendations are being undertaken.
- Another scale model subcontract has been awarded to the River Research Institute, Faridpur to investigate certain hydraulic characterics of Jamuna River sites. Construction of the physical model is complete and calibration test run has just started.

2.4 FILTER TEST RIG INVESTIGATION

A subcontract for Filter Test Rig Investigation has been offered to BUET. Negotiation regarding scopes and value of subcontract failed and till now no progress is seen forthcoming. Future action needs to be taken in consultation with FPCO.

2.5 FAP 21 DESIGN WORKS

Conceptual design of test structures like groynes and revetments are being finalized. Design criteria have been formulated and different alternative designs and drawings are being prepared. Bank protections to Kamarjani will be attempted by construction of 3 groynes and to Belgacha, Bahadurabad with different types of revetments.

2.6 FAP 22 INVESTIGATIONS

Investigation of Recurrent Active Flood Plain Management measures are continued through the simplified mathematical model. Different alternatives of recurrent measures are also being investigated.

2.7 SEMINAR ON FILTER TECHNOLOGY

During the reporting period an internal seminar on Filter Technology was arranged by the Consultant. Internationally reputed and active filter technologists Dr Floss and Dr Heerten and other participants drawn from endusers, designers, researches and manufacturers discussed in detail about mineral granular filters, geosynthetic and geonatural filters.

2.8 CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT

Extensive investigations on construction methods prevailing in Bangladesh and locally available construction equipment and materials were carried out. Steps have been taken to assess the capabilities of the local contractors to undertake the protective works. Guidelines are being formulated for the construction method, construction equipment and construction materials for the proposed test works.

2.9 DRAFT FINAL REPORTS

The set-outs of the draft final reports for FAP 21 and FAP 22 have been finalized after detail discussions. Invitations were extended to local professionals to contribute in the preparation and writing of different sections of the reports. The set-outs are shown at the end of the Progress Report after Chapter 4.

3 UPDATED WORK PLAN

3.1 INTRODUCTION

During the discussions with the Client and KfW in September two items came up which would require an adjustment of the work plan and the staffing schedule: (1) The preparation of an additional report with rough cost estimate and basic concept of the FAP 21 test structures recommended including the general approach, time schedule etc., that report to be submitted in mid November. (2) The pre-investigation of two additional tentative test areas viz at Kazipur and Sariakandi, as well as the inclusion of monitoring the works to be carried out under the River Bank Protection I Project of World Bank, in the FAP 21 monitoring programme.

It is understood that the submission of the Draft Final Report shall not by any means be delayed beyond the contractual deadline of January 1992, to allow for the KfW/CCCE appraisal mission. The internal time schedule may however be adopted to the new situation. Furthermore the contract amount of the Planning Study as per the Consulting Agreement may not be exceeded, but use may be made of the contingencies if and when required.

The present statement shall give a quick overview of the consequences of these additional items on the work plan and the staffing schedule as well as on the costs.

Due to the very tight schedule the Consultant kindly requests an as fast response to the proposed procedures as possible, preferably within a week's time, in order to make the necessary arrangements regarding the recruitment/ extension of staff, the negotiation of new subcontract(s) and the amendment of existing ones.

The Consultant further kindly requests to inform him latest end of November, if he shall proceed with the final design of the FAP 21 test structures starting in February 1993. This lead period of 2 months is required as a minimum for the Consultant's staff planning. That is because, as accepted by all parties, the final design must start in early February to allow for awarding construction subcontracts in July/August which are required to start the construction of the first test structures immediately after the monsoon 1993.

3.2 PRELIMINARY FAP 21 INVESTMENT REPORT

The funding agencies, for allocating the funds required for the Test and Implementation Phase, particularly for the construction of the test structures, need to undertake a project appraisal. The mission that will carry out the appraisal will take place in January 1993 for which time they will need the Draft Final Report to be available. However, in order to take fast decisions in that period, preferably by issuing an order to proceed for the Consultant, the Client and the Funding Agencies require beforehand a report to contain rough cost

FAP 21/22, PROGRESS REPORT

Y2

estimate, basic concept, approach, time schedule etc. for the FAP 21 test structures envisaged. That report would be required by mid November at the occasion of the Bangladesh - German Government negotiations.

The information required in that report were originally anticipated to be produced at a later stage, viz in December 1992 and early in January, 1993, see Fig. 6.1-1 Work Flow Chart of the Interim Report. The Consultant will however be able to reschedule some of his tasks to a later stage in order to provide the capacities for working out the information required by mid November. That implies certain rearrangement as well as double-work for the Consultant and will result in certain additional professional input required.

That holds all the more as the two (spare) test areas are additionally to be pre-investigated at the same time.

The report will be based on the design of the test structures as planned for Kamarjani and Bahadurabad.

3.3 PRE-INVESTIGATION OF ADDITIONAL TEST AREAS

3.3.1 Introduction

It is understood from the comments to the Interim Report received September 20, 1992 that the Consultant is requested to investigate more test areas. The objective would be depending from the authors of the respective comments banks either to have some spare sites in case the currently identified test areas would have to be dropped for morphological reasons (KfWcomments) or to use the funds to cover more sites which may need protection (several other comments). Further the Consultant was requested to include the monitoring of the works to be constructed under the River Bank Protection I Project (financed through the World Bank) within his monitoring programme for the FAP 21 test structures.

The Consultant is firmly believing that more (than 2) sites would not mean necessarily more but rather less knowledge and study results gained through the test structures since too many funds would be required for fixed cost items like site mobilization, access roads, upstream and downstream termination structures. Hence less funds would be available for financing proper test structures, unless the total funds were increased.

There exists however the risk that one or both identified test areas may not longer be suitable for installing test structures after the 1993 monsoon due to unexpected morphological changes, be it by their type or by their magnitude.

A project mission fielded September 20 to 23 found some hints which may indicate that, in Bahadurabad, the magnitude of erosion is smaller than anticipated, an effect which would not come totally unexpected as explained in the Interim Report p.2-14, indicating a possible cut-

26

LIBRARY



Those thoughts lead certainly to the conclusion that the pre-investigation of two (spare) areas would be recommendable. The two areas envisaged are Kazipur and Sariakandi, both being FAP 1 priority sites.

At least Kazipur seems to have relatively high "certainty of attack" for the years to come as was also indicated in the Interim Report. Some few protection works had been done, and a major groyne constructed in 1989 had failed prior to the end of the first high flow. Due to the population's reservations which seemed to exist towards further tests, that area had been excluded from being taken into closer consideration as a FAP 21 test area. In case that the reservations do not exist any longer, Kazipur would probably be a good test area.

Sariakandi is one of the high priority sites in FAP 1 and is partly included in the River Bank Protection I Project, under consideration to be financed through the World Bank. In fact, Sariakandi represents the northern end of an about 10 km long stretch along the Jamuna river where a risk of a short cut to the Bangali River exists, the southern end of that stretch being Mathurapara. The Consultant understood that in the present phase of negotiations between GoB and the World Bank the southern portion of the endangered stretch is given the highest priority and only that would be included in the project. That would leave space further north, close to Sariakandi for additional FAP 21 test structures.

3.3.2 Implication for the Work Plan

The pre-investigation of the two additional areas will be based as far as possible on existing data which will have to be updated to allow the conclusions required for the Project and to create the basis for a further investigation in case of the new areas coming into close consideration. Since both areas have been investigated within the priority site study of FAP 1 the most recent information would be found there.

The information required includes but is not necessarily limited to

- last surveys, if possible previous ones,
- subsoil data, including boring profiles and
- results of model tests.

It is assumed that all available information will be made fully available to the Consultant without delay through the Client or the FAP 1 consultant. Based on that existing information the Consultant will require to proceed with his own investigation as required for a pre-feasibility level study for the Kazipur area and a more general pre-investigation for Sariakandi.

Pre-investigation of the test areas of Kazipur and Sariakandi would require the following additional activities, listed according to their chronological sequence:

(i) Field surveys to update the existing information on topography and hydrography. At the present stage no full survey is assumed to be required but only some checking of certain representative and/or crucial sections for rough updating. Detailed surveys would further require more time than is available.

The surveys for the additional test areas would be subcontracted to a survey company and supervised by the Project's surveyors. Some hydrometric surveys which had been anticipated may be skipped at the present time and may partially be replaced by those undertaken by FAP 24, e.g. in October in the Bahadurabad area.

Soil investigations are assumed to be existing from the FAP 1 investigations and are hence not planned in the present context

- (ii) Additional runs of the 2-D mathematical flow model
- (iii) Additional morphological analysis in the remote sensing study with regards to forecasting the future bank lines
- (iv) Certain reconstruction of the existing (schematized) models of Bahadurabad and Kamarjani, and undertaking some physical model tests
- (v) Specification of site related hydrologic design events
- (vi) Preparing the preliminary design
- (vii) Cost estimation.

Due to the time schedule required, particularly since the results of field investigations will not be available earlier than mid November, the other activities will have to run partly parallel to the activities for the primary test areas. The tests and investigations for Bahadurabad and Kamarjani will however have priority. The results for the additional test areas will, therefore, be presented in an additional report, to be submitted after the main Draft Final Report FAP 21 in order to avoid any delay for the latter one.

FAP 21/22, PROGRESS REPORT

3.4 MONITORING WORKS UNDER RIVER BANK PROTECTION I PROJECT

The River Bank Protection I Project (RBPIP) works can be regarded as the upper end of the variable safety standards for the FAP 21 test structures. Hence, the design of the monitoring systems and procedures for the works to be constructed under the RBPIP shall be including the same main features as the monitoring of the FAP 21 test structures.

This will require some additional input by

- (i) studying in more detail these works, including discussions with the consultants working for the respective works
- (ii) planning the additional monitoring techniques and estimating their costs.

It is assumed that the Consultant will have free access to all documentation related to these works including final designs and tender documents if existing.

3.5 TRANSITION FROM PLANNING STUDY TO TEST AND IMPLEMENTATION PHASE

According to the general time frame set forth in the ToR, the Consultant's proposal and the Scope of Works had anticipated an immediate transition between the two phases, without an interruption of the Consultant's activities and with a slight overlapping: the Draft Final Report FAP 21 was due to be submitted End November 1992 and would be reviewed, commented and approved until end January 1993. The preparation of the Final Report, by including the agreed modifications and/or amendments would have started in early February, as shall start the Test and Implementation Phase. That date is crucial for allowing the construction of the test works at the first site in winter 1993/1994.

The slightly delayed date of commencement will result in the submission of the Draft Final Report FAP 21 in mid January and a reviewing, commenting and approving phase reduced to 6 weeks ending February 1993, i.e. already at the end of the first month of the Test and Implementation Phase.

The experiences of the Project showed that reviewing and commenting the reports by the Client - and the GoB review committee - take much longer than the anticipated 4 to 6 weeks. Hence it can be expected that the Consultant will receive the approval of the Draft Final Report including the agreed modifications and/or amendments several months after commencing the Test and Implementation Phase early February.

Recommendation

To avoid any possible confusion in planning the works and scheduling the staff, the Consultant recommends - assuming that the Test and Implementation Phase would commence on time on 1st February 1993 - to end the Planning Study Phase on 31st January 1993. The Final Report would be edited during the Test and Implementation Phases but without formal overlapping. The proposed procedure is also advantageous with respect to a workshop the Client wishes to be held for the Project, the rule up to now being that on the workshop all major comments shall be discussed in order to prepare the jointly agreed modifications and/or amendments to the draft final reports.

3.6 UPDATED WORK FLOW CHART

The updated Work Flow Chart Table 3-1 is based on the additional activities described above as well as reflecting some internal adjustments of the schedule of ongoing activities which became effective in the course of the execution of the Planning Study. It also reflects the Consultant's proposal for a clear temporal separation of the Planning Study and the Test and Implementation Phase.

The basic modification - besides adding some activities related to the additional works - is that the submittal date of the Draft Final Report FAP 22 is shifted from mid November to mid December. The Draft Final Report FAP 21 will be submitted on mid January 1993 as scheduled before and as required for the appraisal mission.

The separate report on the investigations for the additional test areas will be submitted separately from the Draft Final Report FAP 21 until End January 1993.

After reviewing and commenting the two Draft Final Reports and the report on the investigations for the additional test areas, the agreed modifications and/or amendments will be incorporated in the Final Report, the date of submittal mainly depending of the time required to receive and discuss the comments and to agree on the adoptions.

2)

21 / 22 WORK FLOW CHART - PLANNING STUDY REVISION NO 3, PROGRESS REPORT 30 SEPTEMBER 1992	Commencement Inception Interim Progress Final Reports Final Reports Report Report Report FAP FAP FAP FAP FAP +1 22 21 Approval21+22	V V V V V>V>	1992		ematical Model (Mike 11) 21.22	igladesh			21	21(22)	23		22(21)	21(22)			21		Capabilities 21		n Methods 21	21	21 XXX H	21 XXX T TO THE	concepts 22(21)	Morphological Behaviour 22(21)	22(21)			Arriver in the control of the contro		
S			No. Task	-	 Hewew of Existing Uata Installation of 1-D Mathematical Model (Mil 	Reconnaissance of Major Rivers in Bar	5 Field Inspection of Jamuna River	8 Morphological Analysis	7 Preselection of Test Areas		9 Subsoll Investigation in Test Areas	+			14 Selection of Test Sites	+	Analysis of Construction Materials	18 Analysis of Construction Methods		20 Freparation of Attentiative Designs 21 Rate Analysis and Cost Estimation	22 Selection of Design and Contruction Methods	Inspection of Locally Available Construction Equipment	Staffing and Scheduling of the Test and Impl. Phase	25 Budgetting of the Test and Implementation Phase	Review of Possible River Training Methods and Concepts	27 Prediction Methods for Morphological Behaviour		Sel. of Recommended River Training/AFPM Strategies	31 Approach for Development of Medium-Term Plan	Preparation of Implementation of Pilot Project	Proposal of Institutional Arrangements	34 A Navigation Study

Activities for preinvestigation of additional test areas Activities for preliminary FAP 21 Investment Report

•••

LIBRARY.

DHAG

冷

rF

*1 PRELIMINARY FAP 21 INVESTMENT REPORT

Monsoon

4 UPDATED STAFFING SCHEDULE

The updated Staffing Schedule in Table 4-2 reflects both the modifications and additions resulting from the updated work plan as described in the preceding chapter and an updating which results from some adjustment of the personnel during the execution of the works.

The additional activities as described in Chapter 3, are reflected in the staffing schedule in such a way that either some staff's deployment will be extended or in having two additional staff included to cope with the additional work. The latter refers on the one hand, to a senior hydraulic design engineer for the pre-investigations of the test structures at the additional sites and for catching up the time required for preparing the preliminary FAP 21 Investment Report and, on the other hand, an additional river training engineer to cope with the additional work arising on that field due to the involvement of several staff (viz. the modelling experts and the morphologist) in the additional pre-investigations.

The internal adjustments in the course of carrying out the Planning Study are mainly in the tune of 1/2 man-month with the exception of the Mechanical Engineer whose input is felt to be needed only for about one month the present phase, if at all. The activities anticipated for him could be taken over by the Construction Management Specialist. The Mechanical Engineer will be required during the Test and Implementation Phase for choosing specific local construction equipment for the execution of the works, identifying the spares and the type of repairs required and for determining the technical specifications for new equipment to be purchased. For further details see the table in Chapter B.1 of the Administrative Financial Report No.3.

The Table 4-1 is showing the Staffing Schedule as per the Interim Report for the sake of comparison.

NO

FAP 21/22 STAFFING SCHEDULE-PLANNING STUDY REVISION NO 2, AGREED AFTER INCEPTION REPORT

15 JULY 1992

TABLE 4-1

Assigned staff Assigned staff PruncTION Assigned staff Procent precontine Personi Procent precontine Procent precontine Answert precontine Preventine Answert precontine Preventine Answert precontine Preventine Procent precontine Preventine Preventine Preventine <			ManMonths ManMonths B0 EURI Loosi Fights 9.0 EURI Loosi Fights 9.0 EURI Loosi Fights 9.0 1.0 2.0 9.0 1.0 2.0 9.0 1.1 1.1 11.0 3.5 15.5 11.0 3.5 14.5 4.5 1.4 4.5 1.0 3.0 1.4 1.1.0 3.5 1.5 1.1.0 3.5 1.4 1.1.0 3.5 1.4 1.1.0 3.5 1.4 2.0 1.0 3.0 1.1.0 3.0 3.0 1.1.0 3.0 3.0 1.1.0 3.0 1 2.0 1.0 3.0 2.0 1.0 3.0 2.0 1.0 3.0 2.0 1.0 3.0 2.0 1.0 3.0 2.0 1.0 3.0 </th
ASIGNED STAFF FUNCTION ASSIGNED STAFF FPO.EECT DIRECTORATE PENSON FRO.EECT DIRECTORATE Dr. HB.GM AUNISORY BOAND PRO.EECT ACTIMITES Dr. HB.GM AUNISORY BOAND PRO.EET ACTIMITES Dr. HB.GM AUNISORY BOAND PRO.ECT ACTIMITES Dr. HB.GM ADVISORY BOAND PRO.ECT ACTIMITES S. Experim ADVISORY BOAND PRO.ECT ACTIMA CREME Dr. H. B.GM CHIEF RES. END PRO.I. MUNLOGEN Dr. H. B.GM CHIEF RES. FUND ACTIVITIES S. M. MANANT DEPUTY PRO.ECT MUNLOGEN D. M. HB.GM MODELLING EXPERT N. V. S. SAMONDER MODELLING EXPERT A. Q. M. M. MODELLING EXPERT A. Q. M. M. MODELLING EXPERT D. M. V. M. MODELLING EXPERT D. S. S. MONDER MODELLING EXPERT D. M. V. M. M. MODELLING EXPERT D. M. V. M. MODELLING EXPERT D. M. V. M. MODELLING EXPERT D. S. S. MONDER MODELLING EXPERT D. M. V. M. MODELLING EXPERT D. M. V. M. MODELLING EXPERT D			
FUNCTION PRO_ECT DRECTORATE PRO_ECT ACTIVITIES PRO_ECT ACTIVITIC			
FROJECT DIRECTONATE Dr. HEGUN FROJECT DIRECTONATE Dr. HB.GUN ADVISORY BOJAD PROJECT ACTIVITES 5 Experts ECHNICAL HOME OFFICE SUPPORT Dr. HB.GUN ADVISORY BOJAD PROJECT ACTIVITIES 5 Experts DEPUTY PROJECT MANA CREMENT Dr. HB.GUN CHEF RES. ENO. PROJECT MANA CREMENT Dr. HB.GUN CHEF RES. ENO. PROJECT MANA CREMENT Dr. HB.GUN CHEF HYOR. DES ENO. J. MANAGEN S M. Manaur CHEF HYOR. DES ENO. J. MANAGEN S M. Manaur CHEF HYOR. DES ENO. J. MANAGEN S M. MANAUR CHEF HYOR. DES ENO. J. MANAGEN A Q. M. MI MODELLING EXPERT 1 J. M. V. M. MANAUR MODELLING EXPERT 1 J. M.			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
TECHNICAL HOME OFFICE SUPPORIT ### PRECHNICAL HOME OFFICE SUPPORIT PN H BGM ### CHEF RES. ENO _ POLOLECT MANAGER DP H BGM ## CHEF RES. ENO _ POLOLECT MANAGER D PN H BGM ## DEPUTY PROJECT MANAGER D N H BGM ## ## CHEF RES. ENO _ POLOL F A P ZI D N H BGM ## # CHEF HOLD F A P ZI D N H BGM # <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
PROJUCT PROJUNANCENT PROJUCT MANAGENT CH BROW CHEF RES. ENG. PROJUMAGENT DE PUTY PROJUMAGENT DE HENNINGENT CHEF HYDR. DES. ENG. + TEAM LEADER K. Schröder K. Schröder MODELLING EXPERT 1 K. Schröder H. Sammink MODELLING EXPERT 1 M v d Wal M v d Wal MODELLING EXPERT 1 J. Juliann M v d Wal MODELLING EXPERT 1 J. M v d Wal M v d Wal MODELLING EXP 1 D of M void Sadadin MODELLING EXP 1 G Sadadin J. M v d Wal MODELLING EXP 2 J. M void Sadadin MODELLING EXP 2 J. M void G Sadadin MODELLING EXP 2 J. M void Sadadin MODELLING EXP 2 J. Khanin G Sadadin MODELLING EXP 2 J. Khanin M void MODELLING EXP 2 M Hauve J. Khanin MODELLING EXP 2 M Mauve M Convolution Subarbuckont 1 M Salahudin Sadadin Subarbuckont 2 M M Hauve M Convolution Subarbuckont 2 M M Hauve M Convolution Subarbuckont 2 M M Aubin M Convolution Subarbuckont 2 M M Aubin J. Lornine Subsolue EXPERT 1 J. Lornine M A			1 I
CHEF RES. ENG. PROJ. MANAGRA CHEF RES. ENG. PROJ. MANAGRA CHEF RES. ENG. PROJ. MANAGRA DEPUTY PRO.EET I FA P ZI FA P ZI K. Schnöder CHEF HUDR. DES. ENG. + TEAM LEADER R MANAI N. Schnöder CHEF HUDR. DES. ENG. + TEAM LEADER R Schnöder R Schnöder MODELLUIC DESIG ENG. 2 A W Mai N. V M Mai MODELLUIC DESIG FIGU. 2 J Jaian J Jaian MODELLUIC DESIG ENG. 2 J Jaian M V M Wai MODELLUIC ESTRICT J Jaian J Jaian MODELLUIC DESIG ENG. 2 J Jaian M V M Wai MODELLUIC ESTRICT J Jaian J Phrisa MODELLUIG ESTRICT J MANAIN M Haua MORPHOLOGIST 2 J MAIANN M Haua SUBSOLE TEERT 1 M M Haua SUBSOLE ESTERT 1 M A Jaban SUBSOLE ESTERT 1 J L Ormitars SUBSOLE ESTRICTION MANAGENE ESTERT 1 M A Jaban SOCIO ECONOMIST 1 J L Ormitars MECHANICAL ENGINEER 2 K Namandin MECHANICAL ENGINEER 2 K Namandin			1 S C
CHEF HYDR, EAP 21 X, Schröder CHEF HYDR, DES, ENG, + TEAM LEADER X, Schröder HYDRALLC DESIGN ENG, 2 A Q M, M MODELLING EXPET1 J Julian MODELLING EXP 2 A Q M, M MODELLING EXP 2 J Julian PHYS, MODELLING EXP 2 C Schröder PHYS, MODELLING EXP 2 D Schröder HYDROLOGIST 2 J Julian HYDROLOGIST 2 D Schröder SUBSOLE EYERT 2 M M Haque SUBSOLE EYERT 2 K Nchwahr SUBSOLE EYERT 2 K Nchwahrd SOCIO ECONOMIST 1 J L Ornives SOCIO ECONOMIST 2 J L Ornives M A Jabbre M A Jabbre SOCIO ECONOMIST 2 M A Jabbre MECHANICAL ENGINEER 2 M Rahmun MECHANICAL ENGINEER 2 M Rahmun			5
CHEF HYDR. DES. ENG. + TE.M.LEADER HYDRALLIC DESIGNE ENG. + TE.M.LEADER HYDRALLIC DESIGNE ENG. 2 HYDRALLIC DESIGNE ENG. 2 HYDRA. MODELLING EXP. 1 HYDRA. MODELLING EXP. 2 HYDRA. MODELLING EXP. 2 HYDRAL OGIST 2 HYDRAL			-
IPPORAULIC DESIGN ENG. 2 A Q M AI MODELLING EXP I M V d Wal MODELLING EXP I M V d Wal PHYS. MODELLING EXP I Jiliann PHYS. MODELLING EXP I Jiliann PHYS. MODELLING EXP I Q Subdin MORPHOLOGIST I M Submudin SUBSOLL EXPERT I M Submudin			2
MODELING EXPERT MUDELING EXPERT Mudeling MODELING EXPERT Mudeling EXP Juluam PHYS. MODELING EXP Juluam Juluam PHYS. MODELING EXP E Denthux Exercition Expect PHYS. MODELING EXP Q Salvuddin PHYS. MODELING EXP Q Salvuddin PHYS. MODELING EXP 2 Salvuddin PHYS. MODELING EXP 2 Salvuddin MORPHOLOGIST 2 Salvuddin MORPHOLOGIST 2 Stanuddin MORPHOLOGIST 1 Stanuddin MURPHOLOGIST 2 Stanuddin MURPHOLOGIST 2 Stanuddin MURPHOLOGIST 2 Stanuddin SUNEYOR 1 Malau SUNEYOR 1 Malau Subsoll EXPERT 2 M Chowahuy			2
PHYS. MODELLING EXP. 1 Dorthaux PHYS. MODELLING EXP. 2 Dorthaux PHYS. MODELLING EXP. 2 Dorthaux PHYS. MODELLING EXP. 2 Q Salvoidin PHYS. MODELLING EXP. 2 Dorthaux PHYS. MODELLING EXP. 2 Dorthaux PHYS. MODELLING EXP. 2 Dorthaux MORPHOLOGIST 2 Different MORPHOLOGIST 2 Different MORPHOLOGIST 2 Different MORPHOLOGIST 2 M Statent MORPHOLOGIST 2 Different MORPHOLOGIST 2 M Statent MORPHOLOGIST 2 M Statent MORPHOLOGIST 2 M Statent SUNVEYOR 3 M Hapton SUBSOL EXPERT 1 M Chowdhord SUBSOL EXPERT 2 M M Hapton SUBSOL EXPERT 3 M Chowdhord MA Jabbard J L Ormiters M CONNIST 1 M Construction M ECHANICAL ENGINEER 2 M Rahman M ECHANICAL ENGINEER 2 M Rahman M ECHANICAL ENGINEER 2 M Rahman			9
PHYS. MODELLING EXP. 2 Q Sukuddin HYDROLOGIS 1 Q Fluey HYDROLOGIS 1 Q Fluey MOPPHOLOGIS 1 Q MAILAN MOPPHOLOGIS 1 Q J MAILAN MOPPHOLOGIS 2 J Mail MOPPHOLOGIS 2 D MAILAN MOPPHOLOGIS 2 D MAILAN MOPPHOLOGIS 2 D MAILAN MOPPHOLOGIS 2 D MAILAN SURVEYOR 1 M Mailan MOSPHOLOGIS 2 M Mailan SURVEYOR 3 M Mailan SUBSOL EXPERT 3 M Mailan SUBSOL EXPERT 3 M Chordhuy SUBSOL EXPERT 3 M Mailan SUBSOL EXPERT 3 M Chordhuy MELAUNCAL ENGINEER 1 J L Ormikers MECHANICAL ENGINEER 2 M Alabian MECHANICAL ENGINEER 2 M Rahman MECHANICAL ENGINEER 2 M Rahman			9
HYDROLOGIST Cliny Cliny HYDROLOGIST Z HYALAN Cliny HYDROLOGIST Z HYALAN Cliny MORPHOLOGIST Z HYALAN Cliny MORPHOLOGIST Cliny Cliny MORPHOLOGIST Cliny Cliny SUNVEYOR Cliny M Salabuddin SUNVEYOR M Salabuddin M Salabuddin SUNVEYOR M Salabuddin M Salabuddin SUNVEYOR M Mause M Salabuddin SUNVEYOR M Nause M Salabuddin SUNSCID EXPERT M Nabuse M Nabuse SOCIO ECONOMIST 1 M Nabuse M Nabuse SOCIO ECONOMIST 1 M Nabuse M Nabuse SOCIO ECONOMIST 1 M Nabuse M Nabuse M COLUBTION SECLULIST M Alabise M Nabuse M ECHNIOLL ENGINEER 2 M Rumuse M Condition			<u>°</u>
HTCHOLOGIST 2 Afficient Z MAin MORPHOLOGIST 1 Q J Khain C MORPHOLOGIST 1 Q J Khain C SUNVEYOR 1 SUNVEYOR 2 J Khain SUNVEYOR 2 M Khain C SUNVEYOR 2 J Khain C SUNVEYOR 2 M Khain C SUBSOL EXPERT 1 K Schörung SUBSOL EXPERT 2 M K Haque SUBSOL EXPERT 2 K N Chowdhuy SUBSOL EXPERT 2 K N Chowdhuy SUBSOL ECONOMIST 1 / INSTITUTIONAL SPEC. K N Abbie SOCIO ECONOMIST 2 K N Abbie M A Jabbie J L Ornikers M COLUSTITION RECLUIST J L Ornikers M EchMINICL ENGINEER 2 M Ruhmun M ECONOMIST 1 F ock			9
MORPHOLOGIST 1 G JALAMANA MORPHOLOGIST 2 G JALAMAGA SURPEORT 3 JALAMAGA SURVEYOR 2 HAMANA SUBSOLL EXPERT 1 JALAMA SUBSOLL EXPERT 1 JALAMA SUBSOLL EXPERT 1 V SANAUNG SUBSOLL EXPERT 1 V SANAUNG SUBSOLL EXPERT 1 V SANAUNG SUBSOLL EXPERT 1 V SANAUNG CONSTRUCTION MANAGEM. EXPERT 1 C NATABANA CONSTRUCTION MANAGEM. EXPERT 1 C NATABANA SOCIO ECONOMIST 1 NISTTIUTIONAL SPEC. SOCIO ECONOMIST 2 A N Chowdhary SOCIO ECONOMIST 1 A Ababa MECHANICAL ENGINEER 1 JL COMISEA MECHANICAL ENGINEER 2 M Ababaw MECHANICAL ENGINEER 2 M Ababaw MECHANICAL ENGINEER 2 M Ababaw ECONOMIST 1 F 4 COCK			°
SURVEYOR 1 SURVEYOR 1 SURVEYOR 2 SUBSOLL EXPERT 2 SUBSOLL EXPERT 2 SUBSOLL EXPERT 2 SUBSOLL EXPERT 2 CONSTRUCTION MANAGEM. EXPERT 1 CONSTRUCTION MANAGEM. EXPERT 1 CONSTRUCTION MANAGEM. EXPERT 1 CONSTRUCTION MANAGEM. EXPERT 1 CONSTRUCTION MANAGEM. EXPERT 1 SOCIO ECONOMIST 7 SOCIO ECONOMIST 2 LAND ADDAT MECHANICAL ENGINEER 2 MECHANICAL ENGINEER 2 MECHA			0
SUBYOLE ZE SUBSOLE ZYRERT 1 SUBSOLE ZYRERT 1 SUBSOLE ZYRERT 1 CONSTRUCTION MANAGEM. EXPERT 1 CONSTRUCTION MANAGEM. EXPERT 1 CONSTRUCTION MANAGEM. EXPERT 2 SOCIO ECONOMIST 2 LAND ACQUISTION SPECIALIST MECHANICAL ENGINEER 1 MECHANICAL ENGINEER 2 ECONOMIST 1 ECONOMIST 1			4 4 4 4
Suesolt. EXPERT 1 SUBSOLL. EXPERT 2 SUBSOLL. EXPERT 2 CONSTRUCTION MANAGEM. EXPERT 1 CONSTRUCTION MANAGEM. EXPERT 2 SOCIO ECONOMIST 1 / INSTITUTIONAL SPEC. SOCIO ECONOMIST 2 LAND ACQUISTION SPECIALIST MECHANICAL ENGINEER 1 MECHANICAL ENGINEER 2 ECONOMIST 1 ECONOMIST 1			1 W 14 W
A DESOLUTE AN EN I CONSTRUCTION MANAGEM. EXPERT 1 CONSTRUCTION MANAGEM. EXPERT 2 SOCIO ECONOMIST 1 / INSTITUTIONAL SPEC. SOCIO ECONOMIST 1 / INSTITUTIONAL SPEC. SOCIO ECONOMIST 2 LAND ACQUISTION SPECIALIST MECHANICAL ENGINEER 1 MECHANICAL ENGINEER 2 ECONOMIST 1 ECONOMIST 1			
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEME, EXPERT 2 CONSTRUCTION MANAGEME, EXPERT 2 SOCIO ECONOMIST 1 / INSTITUTIONAL SPEC. SOCIO ECONOMIST 2 LUND COLONIMIST 2 MECHANICAL ENGINEER 2 MECHANICAL ENGINEER 2 ECONOMIST 1 ECONOMIST 1			54 B
SOCIO ECONOMIST I / INSTITUTIONAL SPEC. SOCIO ECONOMIST 2 LAND ACQUISTION SECLULIST MECHANICAL ENGINEER 2 MECHANICAL ENGINEER 2 ECONOMIST 1 ECONOMIST 1		-	
SOCIO ECONOMIST 2 LAND ACQUISITION SPECIALIST MECHANICAL ENGINEER 1 MECHANICAL ENGINEER 2 MECHANICAL ENGINEER 2 ECONOMIST 1 ECONOMIST 2			
LAND ACQUISITION SPECIALIST MECHANICAL ENGINEER 1 MECHANICAL ENGINEER 2 ECONOMIST 1 ECONOMIST 1			
MECHANICAL EVOLVEER 1 MECHANICAL EVOLVEER 2 MECHANICAL EVOLVEER 2 ECONOMIST 1			
ECONOMIST 1 ECONOMIST 2			4.0
ECONOMIST 2			1.0
	_		0.1
AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT SPECIALIST 1			0.1
20:2 AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT SPECIALIST 2		CINT CAP 31	8 01 8
FAP 22			0 1 0
		2	0
17.3 RIVER TRAINING ENGINEER 2 SICHOWAHUY			0.11
			0.5
			1.5
MORPHOLOGIST 1			2.0 .
MORPHOLOGIST 2			5.5
8.2 MODELLING EXPERT 2 Jiliam			6.5
LAND RECLAMATION EXPERT 1			2.0
			4.4
INSTITUTIONAL SPEC.			
13.2 SOCIO ECONOMIST 2 K Mizamuddin 13.3 I AMD ACOURSTION SPECIALIST MA Jabbar			2.0
ENVRONMENTAL EXPERT 1			2.0
			3.0
16.1 ECONOMIST 1 F de Ceek			1.0
LEGAL ADVISOR			0.1
			0 1
20.2 AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT SPECIALIST 2			_
ZI.1 INLAND WATER TRANSPORT ECONOMIST 1 ZI.2 INLAND WATER TRANSPORT ECONOMIST 2			1,0 2.0
		SUM FAP 22 21	28.5 1.0 38.5 92.0 13.5 123.0

20

TABLE 4-2

FAP 21/22 STAFFING SCHEDULE - PLANNING STUDY REVISION NO 3, PROGRESS REPORT

30 SEPTEMBER 1992

			PhemoBilization	MALESTONES : COMMENCEMENT OF PREMOBILIZATION	SERVICES MOBILIZATION	INCEPTION REPORT	INTERIM REPORT	PROGRESS REPORT	DRAFT FINAL REPORT		FAP 21+FAP 22			N.
Motion Motion<				٨			>	>	>	V> V				1
MULTIC TEACT District District <thdistrict< th=""> <thdistrict< th=""> <thdis< th=""><th>ASSIGNED STAFF</th><th></th><th>H</th><th>-</th><th>2 3</th><th>8</th><th>6 8 /</th><th>H</th><th>61</th><th>100</th><th>10</th><th>Man - Mi Excertates</th><th>Man - Months Defiates</th><th></th></thdis<></thdistrict<></thdistrict<>	ASSIGNED STAFF		H	-	2 3	8	6 8 /	H	61	100	10	Man - Mi Excertates	Man - Months Defiates	
	FUNCTION	PERSON	H	H	L 7	•		s 0	7 0 1		- - -	80 8	EUR Local	al Flight
	PROJECT DIR	Dr. H. Bruhi				C.F. LIN	E I/A.I CHIEF RES			1				
		5 Experts		11 11	H H H H				 			4.5	1.0 2	2.0
	PROJECT MANAGEMENT										SUM P C	4.5		8.0
	T CHIEF RES. ENG. (PROJ. MANAGER)	Dr H Bruh										12.0		
	DEFUT FROME IN	2 M Marisur									SUM PM	12.0	3.5 14	14.5
Image: Section control in the sectin control in the section control in the section control		Dr H Kramer										11.0	1	
Inclusion (Construction) June	2 HYDRALLIC DESIGN ENG. 2 3 SENIOR HYDRALLIC DESIGN ENGINEER	A D M AI								39		15	ç	13.0
Inclusion Junit Inclusion Junit Inclusion Junit Inclusion Junit	MODELLING EXPERT 1	M v d Wal								sv		22		
Intra Maclustance 1 Element Element Element Intra Maclustance 1 Element		maist C				+	Ì			/H			\$	5.5
International and the state of the state	PHYS. MODELLING EXP. 1	E Douthaux								Ч		8.0		
Nicholand Stroki Stroki Stroki	HYDROLOGIST 1	G Flaury				_				+		0		n
New Coordition Control coo	HYDROLOGIST 2	Z H Khan										2	e	3.0
Политися и полити и политися и политися и политися и	MORPHOLOGIST 1	G J Khassen										1.5		0
Instruction Instruction <thinstruction< th=""> <thinstruction< th=""></thinstruction<></thinstruction<>	Staticon .	M Seenudon								+		d	0	0
Statute Entri Michael	SURVEYOR 2	H Kamal]								1		5.6
Области Стептал Области Стептал Области Стептал Области Стептал Области Стептал Области Стептал Совенно и или или и или	SUBSOIL EXPERT 1	W Schönung						l		-		4.0		-
Constituction Manualized Definition Constituction Manualized Definition Constituction Manualized Definition Second constants View Manualized Manuunus Manuunununus Manualized Manualized Manualized Manualized M	SUBSOIL EXPERT 2	M M Haque								+			2	7.0
Solo Growmer Listen Link Create Create Create Create Listen Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Create Listen Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION Listen Constraint FigURATION List	CONSTRUCTION MANAGEM. EXPERT 1	C Netzeband				2				-		9.5	-	0
Statute Constraints Kannon Constraints Kannon Macroardiaria Macroardiari Macroardiari Macroardiari </td <td>SOCIO ECONOMIST 1 / INSTITUTIONAL SPEC</td> <td>C Potin</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>+</td> <td></td> <td>15</td> <td></td> <td></td>	SOCIO ECONOMIST 1 / INSTITUTIONAL SPEC	C Potin								+		15		
MEMONACOURTION SFIGURET MANDER MANDER MANDER MANDER MEMONACOURTION SFIGURET JLORMAN JLORMAN JLORMAN MANDER JLORMAN MECONNEX EXONGET JLORMAN MANDER JLORMAN MANDER JLORMAN MECOLIUMA MERTIONA MANDER JLORMAN MANDER JLORMAN MECOLIUMA MERTIONA MANDER JLORMAN JLORMAN JLORMAN MERILITIAA MERILITIAA JLORMAN JLORMAN JLORMAN JLORMAN MERILITIAA MERILITIAA JLORMAN JLORMAN JLORMAN JLORMAN MERILITIAA JLORMAN JLORMAN JLORMAN JLORMAN JLORMAN MERILITIAA JLORMAN JLORMAN JLORMAN JLORMAN	SOCIO ECONOMIST 2	K Nizamuddin		-		1				-		-	2	2.0
MECHANCK ENDARCENT JUNK DEFINITION ALL COMMENT IN THE ALL COMMENT IN T	LAND ACQUISITION SPECIALIST	M A Jabbar						1		+			2.0	0
Consumption For some and curves	MECHANICAL ENGINEER 1	UL Ormières				_						0.1	00	- c
Electronousing Andicut trave precisional and contrust and	ECONOMIST 1	F de Cock						-				1.0		-
AMAGALUMA DECADMENT SECALIST I LUCK AMAGALUMA DECADMENT SECALIST TAPEZ THAN MERTINAMMERT SECALIST TAPEZ THAN MERTINAMMERT SECALIST TAPEZ THAN MERTINAMMERT SECALIST THAN MERTINAMMERT THAN MERTINAMMERT THAN MERTINAMMERT SECALIST THAN MERTINAMMERT THAN MERTINAMMERT THAN MERTINAMMERT SECALIST THAN MERTINAMERT SECALIST THAN MERTINAMMERT SECALIST THAN MERTINAMERT SECALIST TH		K Hussain											-	0
International activity International activity International activity International activity International activity International activity International activity International	AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT SPECIALIST 1 AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT SPECIALIST 2	P Lagache										0	-	c
CHEF AVERT IAWANG ENGINEER 1 FLW LEAGE I CON COST 1 AVERT IAWANG ENGINEER 2 P V CORR AVERT IAMA COST 1 AVERT IAMA C				+						1-	SUM FAP	51.0	10	2 10
River Transing Exements 5 Anim Feature Feature<	CHIEF RIVER TRAINING ENGINEER + TEAM LEADER	F v d Knaap P v Groan							1				0.1	-
WOPCACOUST C Flaur C Flaur Image: Second Sec	RIVER TRAINING ENGINEER 2	S A Khan							+				12.0	0
MOPPIAL COST 1 MOPPIAL COST 1 MOPPIAL COST 1 MOPPIAL COST 2 MOPPIAL COST 2	HYDRAC OGIST 1	G Flaury	1						71			0.5	4	
WORPHAL GGIST 2 Washruckin Washruc	MORPHOLOGIST 1	G J Khassen								+		2.0		2
woodeLived Expertition wv dwal wv dwal <td< td=""><td>MORPHOLOGIST 2</td><td>M Salahuddin</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td>4 5</td><td>10</td></td<>	MORPHOLOGIST 2	M Salahuddin								-			4 5	10
UNDECLAMATION EXPERT 1 JIAM AND OUTCAMATION EXPERT 1 JIAM AND OUTCAMATION EXPERT 1 A MAIN ON ECLAMATION EXPERT 1 A MAIN ON A NORMENT 4 EVENT 2 A MAIN ON ECLAMATION EXPERT 1 A MAIN ON ECLAMATION EXPERT 1 A MAIN ON A NORMENT 4 EVENT 2 A MAIN ON ECLAMATION EXPERT 1 A MAIN ON A NORMENT 4 EVENT 2 A MAIN ON A NORMENT 4 EVENT 3 A MAIN ON A NORMENT 4 A MAIN ON A	MODELLING EXPERT 1	IEM D A W					-					5.5		
MONDERCAMMINION CREET A CARANTIAN DATE CANAMINION CREET A CARANTIAN DATE CANAMINIAN A CARANTIAN A CARANTIAN DATE CANAMINIAN A CARANTIAN DATE	MODELLING EXPERT 2	Listem							1	54		36	9.9 9	0
SOCIO ECONOMISTI / INSTITUTIONAL SFEC. C POIN SOCIO ECONOMISTI / INSTITUTIONAL SFEC. C POIN SOCIO ECONOMISTI / INSTITUTIONAL SFEC. C POIN SOCIO ECONOMISTI 2 EMMONMENTAL EXPERTI 2 EMMONMENTAL EXPERTI 2 EMMONMENTAL EXPERTI 2 EMMONMENTAL EXPERTI 2 EMMONMENTAL EXPERTI 2 ECONOMIST 1 ECONOMIST 1 MAND WATER TRANSFORT ECONOMIST 2 MAND W	LAND RECLAMATION EXPERT 2	A Mis								F			* 5	
Secon Economistia 2 Khamudan		C Poth								75		1.5		
C MAADGK MAADGK C WAADGK C WAADGK C HANDGK A HANGK A HANGK A HANKK A NHQ A HANKK A HANKK A HANKK A HANKK A HANKK	SOCIO ECONOMIST 2	K Nizamuddin								1			2.5	10
A H Hug Blog A H Hug Blog F es Cock F es Cock A H Hug Blog F es Cock A H Hug Blog A H Hug Blo	IST	M A Jabbar								5		3.5	2.0	-
F da Cock F da Cock X Hursan H Hursan H Hursan H I Book J P Luperia Image: State of the state of th		A H Hug Bhuh							-				3.0	-
H Ruyan H Ruyan H Ruyan H Ruyan I P Lupacia H Ruyan J Nu Nu J Nuka H Ruyan J Nuka H Ruyan Kuta H Ruyan J Kuta H Ruyan Kuta H Ruyan Kuta H Ruyan		F de Cock										0.1	-	_
T PLODORN MAN 2 NN MAN 2		H Bhuban												
2 JM Dealer JM Dealer NH4G F Monut		P Lagache										1.0		
	2	NN											1	
D of Armaud		N Haq										0	1.0	_
		D d'Anneud F Koch										0.1		
											SUM FAP 22	30.5	0 39.5	Ĩ

APPENDIX

22

CONTENTS OF DRAFT FINAL REPORTS

Bank Protection Pilot Project (FAP 21)

DRAFT FINAL REPORT PLANNING STUDY January 1993

CONTENTS

(Executive) Summary Report

separate volume

20

Main Report

Cover sheet Cover letter Spot image Map Jamuna River

Contents of Study)	
Contents of Main Report) light green paper	3
Glossary)	
List of Symbols)	

Summary

yellow paper

PART A SETTING THE SCENE

- 1. INTRODUCTION
- 1.1 Background
- 1.2 The Project including relation to FAP 22, + two phases
- 1.3 Relationship with other FAP Projects
- 2. BOUNDARY CONDITIONS
- 2.1 The Geography and Population
- 2.2 The Morphology
- 2.3 The Hydrology
- 3. PRESENT SITUATION OF BANK PROTECTION
- 3.1 In Bangladesh
- 3.2 Other Experiences

PART B BANK PROTECTION METHODS

4. TYPES OF STRUCTURES

- 4.1 Basic considerations
- 4.2 Definitions and Features
- 4.3 Groynes versus Revetments
- 5. DESIGN CRITERIA FOR REVETMENTS
- 5.1 Water levels
- 5.2 Hydraulic strains 5.2.1 Currents 5.2.2 Waves
- 5.3 Cross Sectional Requirements
- 5.4 Revetment Composition and Requirements
 - 5.4.1 Armour layers
 - 5.4.2 Filter layers
 - 5.4.3 Toe protection

- 5.5 Structural Stability Requirements5.5.1 Density of subsoil5.5.2 Safety against base failure
 - 5.5.3 Safety against slope failure
- 5.6 Hydraulic Stability Requirements 5.6.1 Erosion 5.6.2 Scours
 - 5.6.3 Seepage
 - 5.6.4 Excess Water Pressure
- 6. DESIGN CRITERIA FOR GROYNES
- 6.1 Cross Sectional Requirements
- 6.2 Layout-alignments
- 6.3 Length
- 6.4 Spacing
- 6.5 Direction
- 6.6 Crest

7. CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS

- 7.1 Groynes
- 7.2 Revetments
- 8. CONSTRUCTION METHODS
- 8.1 Groynes
- 8.2 Revetments
- 9. DESIGN METHODS (deterministic versus probabilistic design)

PART C STUDY OF ALTERNATIVE DESIGNS FOR THE TEST STRUCTURES

- 10. TECHNICAL INVESTIGATIONS
- 10.1 Morphological Studies
- 10.2 Selection of Test Sites
- 10.3 Subsoil conditions
 10.3.1 Field Tests
 10.3.2 Laboratory Tests
 10.3.3 Evaluation
- 10.4 Topographical and Hydrographic Surveys
- 10.5 Hydrometric Measurements
 10.6 Mathematical Model Tests
 10.6.1 One-Dimensional Model (MIKE II)
- 10.6.2 Two-Dimensional Model
- 10.7 Physical Model Tests

11. STUDY OF ALTERNATIVE DESIGN SOLUTIONS

- 11.1 Selection of feasible test measures
- 11.2 Constructional aspects 11.2.1 Analysis of Construction Material

TIBRAR

- 11.2.2 Analysis of Construction Methods
- 11.2.3 Assessment of Contractor's Capabilities
- 11.2.4 Conclusions
- 11.3 Alternative designs
 - 11.3.1 Revetments
 - 11.3.2 Groynes
- 11.4 Cost Estimates
- 11.5 Other Aspects
 - 11.5.1 Environment
 - 11.5.2 Socio-Economy
 - 11.5.3 Economics
 - 11.5.4 Agricultural Development
- 11.6 Preliminary design of test structures and construction methods
- 11.7 Cost efficiency

PART D THE PROGRAMME OF ACTION

- 12. FINAL DESIGN OF TEST STRUCTURES AND PREPARATION OF IMPLEMENTATION 12.1 General Approach
- 12.2 Additional Studies and Investigations
 - 12.2.1 Morphological Analysis
 - 12.2.2 Selection of Test Sites.
 - 12.2.3 Topographic and Hydrometric Surveys
 - 12.2.4 Subsoil Investigations
 - 12.2.5 Model Tests
 - 12.2.6 Environmental Investigations
 - 12.2.7 Socio-Economic Investigations
- 12.3 Final Design, Construction Methods and Tender Documents 12.3.1 Revetments 12.3.2 Groynes
- 12.4 Subcontracting of Works

13. IMPLEMENTATION OF WORKS

- 14. MONITORING AND ADAPTATION OF WORKS
- 15. STAFFING, SCHEDULES AND BUDGETING OF TEST AND IMPLEMENTATION PHASE
- 16. FUNDING REQUIREMENTS
- 16.1 Pilot Project

- 16.2 Studies and Investigations
- 16.3 Implementation and Monitoring

PLATES REFERENCES APPENDICES)	light green paper
VOLUME II VOLUME III VOLUME III	Annex	 * River Training and Morphological Response P* Morphological Analysis Using Satellite Imagery * Effectivity of Surface Bandals
and a state of the second	1000	Directivity of Surrace Danadis
VOLUME III	Annex 4	* Simplified Mathematical Model for Investigation of Recurrent AFPM Measures
VOLUME III	Annex 5	* Hydrologic Data
VOLUME III	Annex 6	5* Mathematical Model Tests

Version: 1, Updated: 1.10.92

FNL-RPT\ToC-DFR1.21\SK

2 C

VOLUMEIVAnnexAnnexVOLUMEIVAnnexAnnexVOLUMEIVAnnexAnnexVOLUMEVAnnexAnnexAnnexAnnexAnnexAnnexAnnexAnnexAnnexAnnex	 7* Socio-Economic and Institutional 8* Environmental Assessment 9* Economic Assessment 10* Navigation Study 11 Surveys 12 Subsoil Investigations 13 Physical Model Tests 14 Scour Study 15 16 	Assessment
---	--	------------

* Annexes referring to FAP 22 component only: submitted in FAP 22 Draft Final Report

River Training/AFPM Pilot Project (FAP 22)

DRAFT FINAL REPORT PLANNING STUDY November 1992

CONTENTS

)

(Executive) Summary Report

separate volume

Main Report

Cover sheet Cover letter Spot image Map Jamuna River

Contents of Study Contents of Main Report Glossary List of Symbols

light green paper

Summary

yellow paper

)

PART A SETTING THE SCENE

- 1. INTRODUCTION
- 1.1 Background
- 1.2 The Project including relation to FAP 21, + two phases
- 1.3 Relationship with other FAP Projects
- 2. BOUNDARY CONDITIONS
- 2.1 The Geography and Population
- 2.2 The Morphology
- 2.3 The Hydrology

3. ACTUAL RIVER AND FLOOD PLAIN USE

- 3.1 General
 - (- Collection of data)
 - (- Field observations)
 - (- Presentation of summaries)
- 3.2 Land Reclamation / Land Use Aspects
- 3.3 Environmental Aspects
- 3.4 Socio-Economic and Institutional Aspects
- 3.5 Navigational Aspects
- 3.6 Economic Aspects

PART B TAMING THE JAMUNA (?)

- 4. TRAINING OF THE RIVER
- 4.1 Problem Analysis
- 4.2 Objectives
- 4.3 Review of Strategies incl. AFPM
- 4.4 Review of Measures (permanent vs recurrent, selection)

5. TOWARDS A STRATEGY OF RIVER TRAINING/AFPM

5.1 Alternative Strategies 5.1.1 General Considerations

Version: 3, Updated: 30.09.92

FAP 22 related summaries of Annexes 7, 8, 9, 10

- 5.1.2 Anabranched Flood Channels
- 5.1.3 Braided River with reduced width
- 5.1.4 Conclusions
- 5.2 Prediction of Morphological Changes
 - 5.2.1 Natural Changes
 - 5.2.2 Response to River Training/AFPM Measures
- 5.3 Organizational/Institutional Aspects
- 5.4 Other Aspects
 - 5.4.1 Environment
 - 5.4.2 Socio-Economy
 - 5.4.3 Navigation
 - 5.4.4 Economics
- 5.5 Strategy Recommended/Evaluation of Strategies
- 5.6 Staging

PART C THE INVESTIGATIONS

- 6. FORMULATION OF NEW RIVER TRAINING/AFPM MEASURES
- 6.1 Introduction (framework/objectives)
- 6.2 Surface Bandals as a First Option for Recurrent Measures 6.2.1 General
 - 6.2.2 Effectivity of Surface Bandals
 - 6.2.3 Review of Alternative Surface Bandals
- 6.3 Artificial Cut-Offs
 6.3.1 General
 6.3.2 Design of Pilot Channels Including Dredging Costs
- 6.4 Aspects Concerning Implementation
- 6.5 Conclusions (technical and financial viability)

7. ANALYSIS OF RIVER RESPONSE

- 7.1 Approach
- 7.2 Short Term River Response to Recurrent Measures
 - 7.2.1 Hydraulic Changes
 - 7.2.2 Bed Level Changes
 - 7.2.3 Bank Erosion
- 7.3 Short Term River Response to Cut-offs
 - 7.3.1 Hydraulic changes
 - 7.3.2 Bed level changes
- 7.4 Towards Design Guidelines
 7.4.1 Recurrent Measures versus Channel Dimensions
 7.4.2 Artificial Cut-Offs versus Channel Dimensions
 7.5
- 7.5 Conclusions and Recommendations

PART D THE PROGRAMME OF ACTION

- 8. PILOT PROJECT
- 8.1 General Considerations
- 8.2 Additional Study and Model Tests
- 8.3 Pre-Selection of Test Site (?)
- 8.4 Formulation of Prototype Tests
- 8.5 Staffing and Cost Estimates

9. FURTHER STUDIES AND INVESTIGATIONS

- 9.1 Purpose and Nature
- 9.2 Morphological Studies and Investigations
- 9.3 Technical/Hydraulic Studies and Investigations
- 9.4 Socio-Economic Studies and Investigations
- 9.5 Environmental Studies and Investigations
- 9.6 Other Studies and Investigations

10. INSTITUTIONAL STRENGTHENING

- 10.1 Permanent Integrated River Management Institute
- 10.2 Rivers Commission

11. FUNDING REQUIREMENTS

- 11.1 Pilot Project
- 11.2 Studies and Investigations
- 11.3 Implementation

PLATES REFERENCES APPENDICES))	light green paper
VOLUME II	Annex 1	River Training and Morphological Response
VOLUME III	Annex 2	Morphological Analysis Using Satellite Imagery
VOLUME III	Annex 3	Effectivity of Surface Bandals
VOLUME III	Annex 4	Simplified Mathematical Model for
		Investigation of Recurrent AFPM Measures
VOLUME III	Annex 5	Hydrologic Data
VOLUME III	Annex 6	Mathematical Model Tests
VOLUME IV	Annex 7	Socio-Economic and Institutional Assessment
VOLUME IV	Annex 8	Environmental Assessment
VOLUME IV	Annex 9	Economic Assessment
VOLUME IV	Annex 10	Navigation Study
	Annex 11*	Surveys
	Annex 12*	Subsoil Investigations
	Annex 13*	Physical Model Tests
	Annex 14*	Scour Study

* Annexes referring to FAP 21 component only: submitted in FAP 21 Draft Final Report

IBANIK IPIROTTECTTION AND IRIVIER TIRAUNING (AJFIPMI) IPIULOT IPIROJIECT IFAIP 21/22

ADMINISTRATIVE AND FINANCIAL REPORT NO.3 30 SEPTEMBER 1992

List of Contents

Α	INTRODUCTION	
1	BACKGROUND	A-1
2	IMPORTANT DATES AND EVENTS	A-1
		λ0
B	ADMINISTRATIVE SUBJECTS	
1	STAFFING1.1Professional Staff Fielded1.2Staff Schedule	B-1 B-1
2	MODIFICATIONS OF SCOPE OF WORKS	B-6
3	REPORTING	B-7
4	SUBCONTRACTS4.1General4.2Subcontracts Concluded4.3Subcontracts in Negotiation	B-8 B-8 B-8
5	MISCELLANEOUS	B-9
С	FINANCIAL SUBJECTS	
1	General	C-1
2	Expected Staffing Costs	C-1
3	Status of Expenditures	C-4
4	Financial Forecast	C-16

A INTRODUCTION

1 BACKGROUND

The Project was awarded by the Flood Plan Coordination Organization (FPCO) represented by the Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau (KfW) to the Joint Venture Rhein-Ruhr Ingenieur-GesellschaftmbH as lead partner, Compagnie Nationale du Rhone, Prof.Dr. Lackner & Partners and Delft Hydraulics in association with Bangladesh Engineering and Technological Services Ltd. (BETS) and Desh Upodesh Ltd. (DUL).

The Consultancy Agreement was signed on October 14, 1991. The date of commencement was fixed on December 1, 1991.

The present Administrative and Financial Report No.3 covers the period since the signature of the Agreement until September 30, 1992.

The report shall give an information on some important non-technical matters, particularly on staff deployment, subcontracts and schedule of finances, especially with regard to possible modifications in relation to the Agreement. The administrative and financial reports are not intended to serve as a direct basis for billing and/or balancing the Project. That will be done, according to the Consulting Agreement, when presenting the evidences for the final installment after finalizing all the services for the Planning Study.

2 IMPORTANT DATES AND EVENTS

06.05.1991	Presentation of Proposal
14.10.1991	Signature of Consulting Agreement
13.01.1992	Start of expatriate staff deployment in Bangladesh
01.03. to 05.03.1992	2nd GOB-WB Conference on Flood Action Plan
02.03.1992	Circulation and discussion of the draft of the Technical Report
	No.1 on Pre-Selection of Test Areas
14.03.1992	Official handing over of the Technical Report No.1
19.03.1992	General Meeting in FPCO on the Preselection of Test Areas
21.03.1992	Submission of the Inception Report
21.03.1992	Approval of Test Areas
28.03.1992	Subcontract signed for subsoil investigations
02.04.1992,	Subcontracts signed for topographic and
08.04.1992	hydrographic surveys

FAP 21/22, ADM-FIN REP-3

04.04. to 19.04.1992	Study Tour to Europe including attendance of 5th Symposium on River Sedimentation, Karlsruhe, Germany
21.05.1992	Submission of the Administrative and Financial Report No.1
22.05. to 09.06.1992	Braided Rivers Study Tour to the Yellow and Yangtze Kiang
	Rivers in China and the Mississippi River in U.S.A.
16.07.1992	Submission of Interim Report
22.07.1992	Received Comments to Inception Report
26.07.1992	Subcontract signed for physical model investigations
01.09.1992	Joint Meeting of FPCO/KfW with Project on Interim Report
20.09.1992	Received comments to Interim Report

A - 2

B ADMINISTRATIVE SUBJECTS

1 STAFFING

1.1 PROFESSIONAL STAFF FIELDED

As per the Consulting Agreement the fees for the professional staff will be paid in accordance to the time actually spent on the project. The cost for local support staff is included in the office running costs.

The assignment periods of the expatriate and the local professional staff for the reporting period are given in Tables B.1-1 and B.1-2, respectively.

1.2 STAFF SCHEDULE

Table B.1-3 of the present report is showing the Revision 3 of the Staffing Schedule and includes the modifications incurred due to the additional investigations as described in Chapters 3 and 4 of the Progress Report and through minor changes in the course of executing the Project. The modifications compared to the Staffing Schedule Revision-2 are listed in the table on page B-2.



08

B - 2

Modifications of staff due to additional works (1) and to general adjustments (2)

Expatriate Staff

S1. No.	Function	Person	(1) mm	(2) mm
1/4.1 2 5.1 5.3 6.1 7.1 9.1 10.1 12.1 14.1 15.1 17.1 18.1 23	Project Director Advisory Board Team Leader FAP 21 Senior Hydraulic Engineer Modelling Expert Physical Model Expert Morphologist Surveyor Construction Manag. Specialist Environmentalist Mechanical Engineer Team Leader FAP 22 Land Reclamation Expert River Training Engineer	Dr H Brühl Dr H Kramer K Schröder M v d Wal E Doutriaux G J Klaassen J Heise C Netzeband C Bertand L Ormières F v d Knaap E Elizechea F Koch	+ 1.0 + 0.5 + 1.5 + 1.0 + 1.0 + 0.5 + 1.5 + 1.5 + 1.5	$\begin{array}{r} - 0.5 \\ + 0.5 \\ + 0.5 \\ + 0.5 \\ - 2.0 \\ - 0.5 \\ + 0.5 \end{array}$
			+ 7.0	- 1.0

Local Staff

S1. No.	Function	Person	(1) mm	(2) mm
4.2 5.2 6.2 7.2 9.2 15.2 17.3 20.2 21.2	Deputy Project Manager Hydraulic Design Engineer-2 Modelling Expert-2 Physical Modelling Expert-2 Morphologist-2 Mechanical Engineer-2 River Training Engineer-2 Agricultural Dev. Specialist-2 IWT Economist-2	S M Mansur A Q M Ali Jahangir Islam Q Saifuddin M Salahuddin M Rahaman S R Khan NN N Haq	+ 1.0 + 1.0 + 1.0 + 1.0	- 1.0 - 1.5 + 3.0 - 2.0 - 1.0 - 1.0
			+ 4.0	- 3.5

Table B.1-1

EXPATRIATE PROFESSIONAL STAFF Fielded up to September 30, 1992

No. 1/4.1 Projec	Project Director Advisory Board - do - - do - - do - - do - - do -	Name Dr H Brühl	Time Spent	Full	+ Working	+ Travel	- Leave	Fore	Allowance	Hotel	House	
	ct Director sory Board	Dr H Brühl	Spent	Full	Mondana	Trovial	I BAVB	Food	Alowance		HOUS	-
<u> </u>	ct Director sory Board	Dr H Brühl		CALIN IN 14	Days	Days	Days		***	-		5.4
	ory Board		10/91 - 01/92 *	2.00				2.00				
	sory Board		01.11.91 - 09.11.91		6	4		0.43				
	sory Board		05.12.91 - 14.12.91		10	4		0.47				
	ory Board		13.01.92 - 31.07.92	6.00	19	4	e	6.67				
	ory Board		08/92	0.50							2	8
<u></u>	ory Board		26.08.92 - 30.09.92	1.00	5	2		1.23 11.30	30 8.80		1.23	8.0
		Various	* 00/92 - 09/92		25			1.00			_	
		Prof. Bouward	24.02.92 - 09.03.92	•	16	4		0.67				
		Prof. Volimers	24.02.92 - 09.03.92		16	4	3	0.67				
		Prof. Volimers	15.09.92 - 26.09.92	,	12	4		0.53				
		Prof. Wan	14.02.92 - 08.03.92		25	4	74	0.97			-	
		Prof. Wan	15.09.92 - 29.09.92		15	4		0.63				
11-1-		Prof. Floss	03.09.92 - 08.09.92		9	4					2	
		Prof. Agema	11.09.92 - 17.09.92	,	7	4		0.37 5.		4.1/ 3	3.23	
	nical Home Office Support	Various	12/91 - 09/92 *	5.00					2.00			
		H Schwenk	17.01.92 - 14.04.92	2.00	28	4		2.93				
		K Schröder	01.06.92 - 15.09.92	3.00	15	4						
		Dr H Kramer	16.09.92 - 30.09.92	36	15	2			7.13 7.13	7.13 6	6.80	
6 1 Mode	Modelling Expert 1	M v d Wal	18.01.91 - 04.08.92	6.00	17	4	4					
	-		25.08.91 - 30.09.92	1.00	9	2		1.27 7.			0.00	8.00
7.1 Phys	Physical Modelling Expert 1	E Doutriaux/E Divet	30.06.92 - 30.09.92	3.00	-	2	,	ю́.			3.02	
	Hydrologist 1	G Fleury	06.03.92 - 16.04.92	1.00	1	4			1.50	06.1	1.3/	
	Morphologist 1	G J Klaassen	22.01.92 - 25.02.92	1.00	4	4					C,	
			02.06.92 - 30.06.92	,	62	4			2.31 2.3	2.31	2.10	
10.1 Surve	Surveyor 1	J Heise	12/91 + 01/91 *	1.00	1					6 67	E 54	
			13.01.92 - 28.07.92	6.00	16	4		10.01	1.0/		5	
			01.09.92 - 30.09.92	1				[
11.1 Subs	Subsoil Expert 1	W Schönung	04.03.92 - 16.06.92	3.00	13	4				C	101	
		H Kramer	01.09.92 - 15.09.92	, ,	ប ប	¢		.4	4.0/		000	
	Construction Management Expert 1	C Netzeband	02.07.92 - 30.09.92	2.00	R S	N •		v v			2	
13.1 Socie	Socio Economist 1	C Potin	03.02.92 - 25.02.92	1	S 8	4 C	•		1 67	1 67	1.47	
		Prompto C	26.50.00 - 26.50.01		38	4	,					
14.1 EIMI			27.09.92 - 30.09.92	,	4	2			1.27 1.	1.27	1.07	
15.1 Mech	Mechanical Engineer 1	L Ormières										
	Economist 1	F de Cock	06.05.92 - 04.06.92	,	8	4			1.10 1.	1.10 0	0.97	
	Team Leader FAP 22	F v d Knaap	12/91 + 01/92 *	1.00			243			14	0	000
			25.01.92 - 30.09.92	8.00	9	4	6	8.03 9.			0.50	8.00
17.2 Low	Low Cost River Training Specialist	P v Groen	07.04.92 - 02.05.92	a.	5e	4			1.00	1.00	187	
	and Reclamation Expert 1	E Elizechea	16.01.92 - 14.02.92		28	4					l	
			13.09.92 - 30.09.92	,	18	2	•	0.67 1.	1./4	1./4	t	
	Agricultural Dev. Specialist	P Lagache	10.09.92 - 30.09.92		21	2	,	0			0.7	
	MT Economist	J M Deplatx						3				
	MT Engineer	D d'Amaud										
				_				74	74 70 64.20		38.96	24.00

* Home Office Work ** Decimal fractions of months are band on 30 days/month *** Including additional 2X2 days for each round trip

FAP 21/22, ADM-FIN REP-3

Table B.1-2

LOCAL PROFESSIONAL STAFF Fielded up to September 30, 1992

No.			Assignment			
			From	То	Tota Mon	
2	Advisory Board	(BUET)				
3	Technical Home Office Support	Dr S K Chowdhury / A S M Quasem	Mid Oct. '91	30.09.92		5.00
4.2	Dy. Project Manager	S M Mansur	15.11.91	30.09.92		10.53
5.2	Hydraul. Design Engineer - 2	A Q M Ali	08.01.92	30.09.92		8.80
6.2	Modelling Expert - 2	Jahangir Islam	01.02.92	30.09.92		8.00
7.2	Physical Modelling Expert - 2	Q Saifuddin	01.06.92	30.09.92		4.00
8.2	Hydrologist - 2	Z H Khan	02.02.92	02.05.92		3.00
9.2	Morphologist - 2	Q Saifuddin / M Salahuddin *	01.02.92 01.06.92	31.05.92 30.09.92		4.00 4.00
10.2	Surveyor - 2	A H M Kamal	15.01.92	22.07.92		6.3
11.2	Subsoil Engineer - 2	Mazharul Haque	11.03.92	19.07.92		4.8
11.3	Subsoil Engineer - 3	Ayub Hasan	29.03.92	18.06.92		2.3
12.2	Construction Management Specialist - 2	Mohiuddin	06.07.92	30.09.92		2.8
13.2	Socio-Economist	K Nizamuddin	01.02.92 13.09.92	31.03.92 30.09.92	2.00 0.60	2.6
13.3	Land Acquis. Specialist	M A Jabbar	02.02.92 13.09.92	31.03.92 30.09.92	1.93 0.60	2.5
14.2	Environmental Engineer - 2	A H Bhuiya	01.03.92	25.03.92		0.8
15.2	Mechanical Engineer - 2	M Rahman				
16.2	Economist - 2	M L Rahman	14.05.92	13.06.92		1.0
17.3	River Training Engineer - 2	S I Chowdhury / S R Khan	15.01.92	30.09.92		8.5
18.2	Land Reclamation Expert - 2	Abul Hashem Miah	15.01.92 13.09.92	15.04.92 30.09.92	3.03 0.60	3.6
19	Legal Advisor	H I Bhuiyan	16.09.92	30.09.92		0.5
20.2	Agricultural Development Specialist-2	NN				
21.2	IWT Economist-2	N Haq				

Observation : Decimal fractions of months are based on 30 days/month

* M Salahuddin was assigned to the Project from 02.02.92 to 31.05.92 i.e. for 3.93 months as trainee morphologist and not counted for billing purposes for that period
TABLE B.1-3

FAP 21/22 STAFFING SCHEDULE - PLANNING STUDY REVISION NO 3, PROGRESS REPORT

30 SEPTEMBER 1992

ASSIGNED STAFF ASSIGNED STAFF No. FLWCTION FROJECT DIRECTOMATE PERSON 1 FROJECT DIRECTOMATE PENJECT PENJECT 2 ADNISORY BOARD PROJECT ACTIVITIES FERSON 3 TECHNICAL HOME OFFICE SUPPORT PENJECT 3 TECHNICAL HOME OFFICE SUPPORT PENJECT 4 PROJECT ANNAGER PENJECT 7 TECHNICAL HOME OFFICE SUPPORT PENJECT 7 TECHNICAL HOME OFFICE SUPPORT PENJECT 7 DEPUTY PROJECT ANNAGER PENJECT 4 DEPUTY PROJECT ANNAGER PENJECT 5 MANUL PENJECT 5 PENJECT PENJECT 5 BENJECT PENJECT 5 BENJECT PENJECT							Man - Months Expatiates BD EUR Loc	•
ATE IES ENT ADEA ADEA					3	<	EUR	
ATE IES ENT ADER ADER							8	I ocal
I ENT ADEA ADEA								
T ENT ADER							4.5 1.0	2.0
ENT ADER ER							-	6.0
ADER						SUM P C		8.0
P 21 TEAM LEADER 2 3N ENGINEER							12.0 3.5	-
TEAM LEADER 2 3N ENGINEER						SUM PM	12.0 35	14.5
2 GN ENGINEER								
					Э			13.0
					St		1.5	
	5 <u>J</u>				۲H		0	5
	5 <u>J</u> I				Ы		6.0	
	5 <u>N</u>				-			7.5
B.1 HYDROL OGIST 1 5 1 HYDROL OGIST 2 H HYDROL OGIST 2	:]				-	гя	1.0	0
-					+-		2	0.0
							2	0.5
					-		9.5 1.0	
					-			9.5
					IA		0.4	
			THE REPORT OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIP		+			7.0
12.1 CONSTRUCTION MANAGEM. EXPERT 3 CONSTRUCTION MANAGEM. EXPERT 3 MANAGEM.							6 .5	
SPEC.					+		1.5	2.2
		+		4				2.0
LIST		1			-+			2.0
я		-		T	80 7		1.0	
FCONOMIST 1					+			50
					-		2	1.0
P Lag					-		1.0	
AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT SPECIALIST 2 NN				-				1.0
171 CHIEF RIVER TRAINING ENCINEER + TEAM I FADER EVANOR					-	SUM FAP 21	51.0 1.0 6	61.5
				+	-			12.0
					D D		0.5	0
MTUMULUGIST 2 2 H Khan					+			1.5
MORPHOLOGIST 2 M Sathuddin							0.2	4
					-		5.5	2
					+			8 .5
18.1 LAND RECLAMATION EXPERT 1 E ERICINA 18.2 LAND RECLAMATION EXPERT 3					-		2.5	1
TIONAL SPEC.					11,		15	0
		1			AT			2.5
IST .		I			S			2.0
14.1 ENVIRONMENTAL EXPERT 1 C BISTRAND							2.5	
ECONOMIST 1 F de Cock							0	3.0
	_							0
							_	1.0
AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT SPECIALIST 1 PLAGACH							1.0	-
OWF								
IN AND WATER TRANSPORT ECONOMIST 2 N Haq								1.0
				× -			1.0	
						SUM FAP 22	0.5 1.0 39	5

09

2 MODIFICATION OF SCOPE OF WORKS

Two modifications of the scope of works had been proposed in the Inception Report and in detail dealt with in the Administrative and Financial Report No.1, Section B-2. They had been approved by FPCO and the Funding Agencies and were included in the Interim Report as Fig. 6.1-1.

Additional investigations are to be done following the comments to the Interim Report and the resulting new Work Flow Chart is included as Table 3-1 in the preceding Progress Report.

07

B - 7

3 REPORTING

The Consulting Agreement calls for regular reporting on technical matters of the Project as per Section K of the Agreement. In the Planning Study these reports are mainly the

- . Inception Report
- . Interim Report
- Progress Report
- Draft Final Report FAP 21
- Draft Final Report FAP 22
- . Final Planning Study Report

As already said earlier no administrative and financial matters are included in the general reports due to the widespread circulation to many Government Agencies and the majority of the FAP projects i.e. far beyond the Client and the Funding Agencies.

The reports above will be amended by case to case reports as indicated in Section K-3 of the Consulting Agreement. Due to the modification of the project management through full time involvement of the Project Director the last report mentioned in that section - back to office reports by the Project Director - have become meaningless.

In the course of carrying out the study it turned out that separate information on special issues should be useful. Therefore the Consultant decided to issue Technical Reports, the first one having dealt with the Preselection of the Test Areas and issued on March 14, 1992, the second one being a report on the river training and morphological response, submitted as Annex 1 to the Interim Report.

So far the following reports have been submitted :

	<u> </u>	
March	14, 1992	Technical Report No.1 (draft circulated 2.3.92)
March	20, 1992	Inception Report
April	28, 1992	Report on Study Tour in Europe.
May	21, 1992	Administrative and Financial Report No.1
July	15, 1992	Report on Braided Rivers Study Tour to China and USA
July	16, 1992	Interim Report



4 SUBCONTRACTS

4.1 GENERAL

The Consulting Agreement (Section 0) provides for five subcontracts to be executed under the Project, namely

- 1. Physical modelling
- 2. Subsoil investigations
- 3. Topographic and hydrographic surveys
- 4. Test rig for filter investigations
- 5. Optional investigations into different model bed materials.

4.2 SUBCONTRACTS CONCLUDED

To date the subcontracts numbers 1 to 3 have been concluded. The main data are as follows:

Item	Subject	Subcontractor	Contract Amount DM	Final Cost (estimated) DM
5.1	Physical modelling	River Research Institute	115,000*	(130,000)*
5.2	Subsoil investigations	Foundation Consultants	45,000	(40,000)
5.3	Topographic and hydrographic surveys	Hydroland The Surveyors and Realtors	78,500 [•] 26,500 [•]	(71,500)* (23,500)*
5.4	Filter test rig	Still in Negotiation		
7	Optional model tests	not to be carried out	0	0

* not including the subcontracts for the additional test sites, requested for in the comments to the Interim Report

Final invoices have not yet been extended for the subcontracts executed.

4.3 SUBCONTRACT IN NEGOTIATION

Item 5.4 : Filter Test Rig

Negotiations will BUET did not result in an agreement. Negotiations are now on the way with the River Research Institute, Faridpur.

C FINANCIAL ASPECTS

1 GENERAL

The Consulting Agreement provides for payment of the costs incurred to the Consultant by six fixed interim instalments and setting of the final costs and balancing of the Planning Study Phase with the invoice for the last instalment, after finalizing all the Services.

To enable the Client and Funding Agencies a financial follow up and control of the Project during its execution the Administrative and Financial Reports shall provide both an informative review of approximate costs accrued so far and a forecast on possible substantial changes of final costs, so far as anticipated at the date of reporting.

In Section C-2 two tables for the expected staffing costs are shown, in accordance to the Revision 3 of the Staffing Schedule as per Chapter B.

For easy reference the status of expenditures will be given in accordance to the tables of Annex 2 to the Consulting Agreement and exactly along the same items, showing the quantities and amounts of the Agreement and additionally the quantities and amounts accrued until the respective date (s). These tables are given in Section C-3.

Finally, in Section C-4 tentative forecasts on major modifications, as to be expected when preparing this report, are given in order to estimate the probable final contract sum and to indicate possible reserves and/or shortfalls.

2 EXPECTED STAFFING COSTS

In Chapter B the staffing schedule is shown on Table B.1-3. The resulting costs are compiled in Table C.2-1 and Table C.2-2 for expatriate and local professional staff, respectively.

Table C.2-1

EXPATRIATE PROFESSIONAL STAFF - Planning Study Phase -

Revision No.3

NO	Function	Person	Comp.		Fees (DM)		Alle	Allowances	(DM)	
				* WW	MM-Rate	Amount	WW .	MM-Rate	Amount	Total
	Protect Director/Protect Manager	Dr H Brühl	RRI	15.50	29,500	457,250	12.00	3,500	42,000	499,250
- 0			2	5 50	31 000	170,500	4.50	3.500	15.750	186,250
N				1 50	26 EDD	30 750				39,750
3.1	Technical Home Office Support	Dr D Neunaus	IHH	00.1	000,02	001,00				50,000
3.2	Technical Home Office Support	C Cottereau	CNR	2.00	26,500	53,000				000,50
3.3	Technical Home Office Support	M Borchert	L&P	1.00	26,500	26,500				26,500
3.4	Technical Home Office Support	F Koch	DELFT	2.50	26,500	66,250				66,250
4.1	Chief Resid. Eng. (Proj. Manager)									
5.1	Team Leader FAP 21	H Kramer	L&P	11.00	25,400	279,400	11.00	3,500	38,500	317,900
5.3	Senior Hydraulic Design Engineer	K Schröder	L&P	1.50	25,400	38,100	1.50	3,500	5,250	43,350
6.1	Modelling Expert 1	M v d Wal	DELFT	11.00	24,600	270,600	11.00	3,500	38,500	309,100
71	Physical Modelling Expert 1	E Doutriaux	CNR	6.00	20,200	121,200	6.00	3,500	21,000	142,200
. 00	Hvdrologist 1	GFleury	CNR	1.50	23,100	34,650	1.50	3,500	5,250	39,900
6	Morphologist 1	G J Klaassen	DELFT	3.50	28,900	101,150	3.50	3,500	12,250	113,400
10.1	Survevor 1	J Heise	RRI	10.50	20,200	212,100	9.50	3,500	33,250	245,350
111	Subsoil Expert 1	W Schönung	L&P	4.00	20,200	80,800	4.00	3,500	14,000	94,800
12.1	Construction Management Expert 1	C Netzeband	RRI	6.50	25,400	165,100	6.50	3,500	22,750	187,850
13.1	Socio Economist 1	C Potin	CNR	3.00	25,400	76,200	3.00	3,500	10,500	86,700
14.1	Environmental Expert 1	C Bertrand	CNR	2.50	20,200	50,500	2.50	3,500	8,750	59,250
15.1	Mechanical Engineer 1	L Ormières	CNR	1.00	23,100	23,100	1.00	3,500	3,500	26,600
16.1	Economist 1	F de Cock	CNR	2.00	25,400	50,800	2.00	3,500	7,000	57,800
17.1	Team Leader FAP 22	F v d Knaap	DELFT	10.50	24,600	258,300	9.50	3,500	33,250	291,550
17.2	Low Cost River Training Specialist	P v Groen	DELFT	1.00	24,600	24,600	1.00	3,500	3,500	28,100
181	I and Reclamation Expert 1	E Elizechea	CNR	2.50	23,100	57,750	2.50	3,500	8,750	66,500
20.1	Agricultural Development Specialist	P Lagache	CNR	2.00	25,400	50,800	2.00	3,500	7,000	57,800
211	IWT Economist	J M Deplaix	CNR	1.00	25,400	25,400	1.00	3,500	3,500	28,900
8	IWT Engineer	D d'Amaud	DELFT	1.00	24,600	24,600	1.00	3,500	3,500	28,100
8	River Training Engineer	F Koch	DELFT	1.50	26,500	39,750	1.50	3,500	5,250	45,000
	IVIOI			111 50		0 798 150	98.00		343 000	3.141.150
	I O I YF			3		20. 600 1			2	

* MM taken from Table B.1-3

Table C.2-2

LOCAL PROFESSIONAL STAFF - Planning Study Phase -

Revision No.3

	Advisory Board Technical Home Office Support Dep. Proj. Manager Hydraulic Design Engineer - 2 Modelling Expert - 2 Hydrologist - 2 Morphologist - 2 Surveyor - 2 Surveyor - 2	(BUET) Dr SK Chowdhury / A S M Quasem S M Mansur A Q M Ali J Islam Q Saifuddin Z H Khan M Salahuddin A Kamal	MM 22.00 6.00 13.00 12.00 7.50	MM-Rate 7,000 4,650 3,800 3,800 4,000 4,000 4,000	Amount 14,000 27,900 69,600 49,400 49,400 30,000 18,000 18,000 18,000
	sory Board nnical Home Office Support - Proj. Manager raulic Design Engineer - 2 elling Expert - 2 s. Modelling Expert - 2 rologist - 2 evor - 2 evor - 2	(BUET) Dr S K Chowdhury / A S M Quasem S M Mansur A Q M Ali J Islam Q Saifuddin Z H Khan M Salahuddin A Kamal	2.00 6.00 14.50 13.00 7.50	7,000 4,650 3,800 4,000 4,000 4,000	14,000 27,900 69,600 49,400 48,000 30,000 18,000 18,000
	sory Board nrical Home Office Support - Proj. Manager raulic Design Engineer - 2 elling Expert - 2 s. Modelling Expert - 2 rologist - 2 evor - 2 evor - 2	(BUET) Dr SK Chowdhury / A S M Quasem S M Mansur A Q M Ali J Islam Q Saifuddin Z H Khan M Salahuddin A Kamal	2.00 6.00 14.50 13.00 12.00	7,000 4,650 3,800 4,000 4,000 4,000	14,000 27,900 69,600 49,400 48,000 30,000 18,000 18,000 40,850
	nical Home Office Support - Proj. Manager raulic Design Engineer - 2 elling Expert - 2 s. Modelling Expert - 2 phologist - 2 eyor - 2 eyor - 2	Dr SKChowdhury / A S M Quasem S M Mansur A Q M Ali J Islam Q Saifuddin Z H Khan M Salahuddin A Kamal	6.00 14.50 13.00 12.00 7.50	4,650 3,800 4,000 4,000 4,000	27,900 69,600 49,400 48,000 30,000 18,000 18,000
	. Proj. Manager raulic Design Engineer - 2 elling Expert - 2 s. Modelling Expert - 2 rologist - 2 phologist - 2 evor - 2 evor - 2	A S M Quasem S M Mansur A Q M Ali J Islam Q Saifuddin Z H Khan M Salahuddin A Kamal	14.50 13.00 12.00 7.50	4,800 3,800 4,000 4,000 4,000	69,600 49,400 48,000 30,000 18,000 40,850
	. Proj. Manager raulic Design Engineer - 2 elling Expert - 2 s. Modelling Expert - 2 rologist - 2 phologist - 2 eyor - 2 evor - 2	S M Mansur A Q M Ali J Islam Q Saifuddin Z H Khan M Salahuddin A Kamal	14.50 13.00 12.00 7.50	4,800 3,800 4,000 4,000 4,000	69,600 49,400 48,000 30,000 18,000 18,000
	elling Expert - 2 elling Expert - 2 s. Modelling Expert - 2 rologist - 2 evor - 2 evor - 2	A Q M Ali J Islam Q Saifuddin Z H Khan M Salahuddin A Kamal	13.00 12.00 7.50	3,800 4,000 4,000 4,000	49,400 48,000 30,000 18,000 40,850
	elling Expert - 2 s. Modelling Expert - 2 rologist - 2 evor - 2 evit Expert - 2	J Islam Q Saifuddin Z H Khan M Salahuddin A Kamal	12.00	4,000 4,000 4,000	48,000 30,000 18,000 40,850
	s. Modelling Expert - 2 sologist - 2 bhologist - 2 eyor - 2 soil Expert - 2	Q Saifuddin Z H Khan M Salahuddin A Kamal	7 50	4,000 4,000 4,300	30,000 18,000 40,850
	rologist - 2 bhologist - 2 eyor - 2 soil Froert - 2	Z H Khan M Salahuddin A Kamal	20.1	4,000	18,000 40,850
	phologist - 2 evor - 2 soil Exnert - 2	M Salahuddin A Kamal	4.50	4,300	40,850
	eyor - 2 soil Expert - 2	A Kamal	9.50		
	soil Expert - 2	The relation design of the relation of the rel	9.50	4,350	41,325
		A Hasan / M Haque	7.00	4,000	28,000
	Construction Management Expert - 2	Mohiuddin	3.00	4,200	12,600
	Socio Fconomist - 2	K Nizamuddin	4.50	4,300	19,350
	and Acruis Spec.	M A Jabbar	4.00	4,000	16,000
	Environmental Expert - 2	A H Bhuiya	3.00	4,000	12,000
	Mechanical Engineer - 2	M Rahman	2.00	4,000	8,000
	Fronomist - 2	K Hussain	2.00	3,800	7,600
	River Training Engineer	S R Khan	12.00	4,000	48,000
	and Reclamation Expert - 2	A Mia	4.50	4,000	18,000
_	egal Advisor	H I Bhuiyan	1.00	4,200	4,200
~	Agricultural Development Spec 2	NN	1.00	4,000	4,000
	WT Economist-2	N Haq	1.00	4,200	4,200
	TOTAL		123.50		521,025

* MM taken from Table B.1-3

FAP 21/22, ADM-FIN REP-3

C - 3

3 STATUS OF EXPENDITURES

As already mentioned, the tables of this Section are giving an indicative information on the expenditures accrued. Generally the exact figures for reimbursable items are not yet known due to final invoices (e.g. of transport of customs clearance) or exchange rates still due. Hence the prices as estimated in the Consulting Agreement have been used for the calculation of expenditures accrued so far. In the following reports, with the real figures being available, these will gradually replace the estimated figures.

Table C.3-0

INDICATIVE COSTS ACCRUED UP TO 30.09.92

	SUMMARY	Per Agreement DM	up to 30.09.92 DM	Remarks
-	MANPOWER COSTS *	3,362,700	2,454,562	Bottom line of Table C.3-1
0	LUMPSUMS PER UNIT	1,013,880	723,934	Bottom line of Table C.3-2
З	REIMBURSABLES	778,600	584,379	Bottom line of Table C.3-3
4	LUMPSUMS	683,950	564,750	Bottom line of Table C.3-4
5	SUBCONTRACTS	340,000	200,000	Bottom line of Table C.3-5
9	CLIENT'S TRAINING / INFORMATION TOURS / CONFERENCES	177,550	83,255	Bottom line of Table C.3-6
	SUBTOTAL	6,356,680	4,610,880	
7	OPTIONAL SUBCONTRACT	300,000		
	PHYSICAL / FINANCIAL CONTINGENCIES	343,320		c
	5			
	TOTAL PLANNING STUDY DM	7,000,000		

* Man power cost according to Revision No.3 : DM 3,662,175

C - 6

Table C.3-1

INDICATIVE COSTS ACCRUED UP TO 30.09.92

	1	Per Agreement/Rev.3	up to 30.09.92
ITEM	DESCRIPTION	TOTAL DM	DM
1.	MANPOWER COSTS * (Payment for actual assignment in the project)		
1.1	Expatriate Staff (c.f. Table C.3-7)		
1.1.1	Fees	2,798,150	1,880,93
1.1.2	Living Allowances	343,000	224,70
1.2	Local Staff (c.f. Table C.3-8)	521,025	348,92
	* OBSERVATIONS :		
	 Vacation and sickness leave is included in the overhead costs of the man month rates 		
	 In item 1.1 2 x 2 days are allowed for travel time for each roundtrip EUR - DHAKA 		
	SUBTOTAL ITEM 1	3,662,175	2,454,50

FAP 21/22, ADM-FIN REP-3

83

Table C.3-2a

INDICATIVE COSTS ACCRUED UP TO 30.09.92

	Remarks		192,104 incl. advance payment of rent for 6 months		90,000 incl. advance payment of rent 136,500 27,720 late delivery of vehicles 21,560 late delivery of vehicles 117,000 late delivery of vehicles				1	
0.09.92	TOTAL		192,104		90,000 136,500 27,720 21,560 117,000	48,000	5,300 13,250 800			652,234
up to 30.09.92	QUANTITY		11.0		30.0 39.0 14.0 39.0	6.0	53.0 53.0 8.0			
It	TOTAL		261,960		162,000 157,500 89,100 73,920 99,000	48,000	1,500 3,750 1,500 12,000 18,000			928,230
Per Agreement	UNIT RATE		17,464	4,500	3,000 3,500 1,540 3,000	8,000	100 250 100 1,200			MQ
Pe	QUANTITY UNIT RATE		15.0	0.0	54.0 45.0 45.0 33.0 33.0	6.0	15.0 15.0 15.0 15.0			
	UNIT		month	month	month month veh-month veh-month veh-month	month	piece piece piece			
	DESCRIPTION	LUMPSUMS PER UNIT (Payment per unit performed)	Office running costs incl. rental, electricity, water, communications, stationary and full support staff	Office long term stand-by costs incl. reduced electricity, water, communication no stationary, no support staff	House running costs for long term exp. staff Hotel/Guesthouse for short term exp. staff Jeep running costs incl. microbus Sedan running costs Car rental costs	Rental of electronic positioning system (Section L item 3.2.1)	Reporting Inception Report Interim Report Progress Report * Draft Final Report * Final Report	* OBSERVATIONS :	In case of additional orders the unit rates for items 2.9.4 and 2.9.5 will be reduced to 90% of the original rate for the first ten additional copies and to 80% for the eleventh and following copies	TO BE CARRIED FOREWARD
	ITEM	ci.	2.1	2.2	200543 200543	2.8	299.2 29.2 29.4 29.5			

Table C.3-2b

INDICATIVE COSTS ACCRUED UP TO 30.09.92

ent up to 30.09.92	TOTAL QU	928,230 652,234	0 15,900 27.0 8,100	0 22,500 27.0 20,250	0 6,750 57.0 8,550		0 4,500 32.0 4,800	36,000 5.0 30,000	
Per Agreement	QUANTITY UNIT RATE		53.0 300	30.0 750	45.0 150		30.0 150	6.0	
	UNIT		trip	trip	day		day	week	
	DESCRIPTION	CARRIED FORWARD	Ground transport and miscellaneous costs for staff trips EUR - DHAKA	Excess luggage for econ./exc. class (18) and first 12 C-class staff trips EUR-DHAKA, except Advisory Board members	Daily allowance for Consultant's staff for investigations in USA and China	Provisional sums for project related information tours abroad and conferences	Daily allowances	Man-week fees of home office staff in charge of the Client's staff in project related training / information tours / conferences at the Consultant's head quarters	3
	ITEM		2.10	2.11	2.12	2.13	2.13.1	2.13.2	

87

Table C.3-3a

INDICATIVE COSTS ACCRUED UP TO 30.09.92

Γ										
	Remarks						better unit rate (7 DM) but more weight	(about 3,500 kg)		
.09.92	TOTAL		38,000	90,000	54,000 25,000 2,400	24,000 12,000 20,000 45,000 40,000	106,000 33,000 24,500			525,900
up to 30.09.92	QUANTITY		0.835	2.4	2.4 0.833 1.0	000000	20.0			
nt	TOTAL		45,500	112,500	28,000 45,000 30,000 16,800	24,000 12,000 12,000 45,000 40,000	180,200 74,800 12,000			697,800
Per Agreement	UNIT RATE DM		45,500	37,500	28,000 22,500 30,000 2,400	12,000 20,000 12,000 45,000 40,000	5,300 2,200 12			MQ
Pe	QUANTITY UNIT RATE		1.0	3.0	1.0 2.0 7.0	0.1100 0.1100 0.1100 0.1100 0.1100 0.1100 0.1100 0.1100 0.1100 0.1100 0.1100 0.1100 0.1100 0.1100 0.1100 0.1100 0.1100 0.1100000000	34.0 34.0 1000.0		-	
	UNIT		piece	piece	piece piece veh.	piece piece piece piece	trip kg			-
	DESCRIPTION	REIMBURSABLES (On actuals; payment on presented invoices paid)	Purchase of Vehicles Jeep Nissan Patrol, Long wheel base	(Section Litem 2.1.1) Jeep Nissan Patrol, Short wheel base	(Section L item 2.1.2) Sedan, Toyota Corona (Section L item 2.1.3) Sedan, Toyota Corona (Section L item 2.1.4) Microbus (Section L item 2.1.5) Registration charge	Purchase of Equipment PC 386 (Section Litern 1.1.3) Copier, large (Section Litern 1.1.9) Working boat (Section Litern 3.1.1) Echosounder (Section Litern 3.1.2) Current Meter (Section Litern 3.1.3) Digital Theodolite / EDM (Section Litern 3.1.7)	Air Travel EUR-DHAKA Staff, C-class * Staff and dependants, econ./exc. fare Air freight for equipment	OBSERVATIONS :	Information in brackets refers to Scope of Works, Section L item 3.3.1 : * C-class flights as a rule for Advisory Board members, Project Director, Team Leaders, first trips of senior staff; subject to modifications.	TO BE CARRIED FOREWARD
	ITEM	G	3.1 3.1.1	3.1.2	3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.6	3254 2554 2554 2554 2554 2554 2554 2554	3.3 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.4			

1-3b
C.O
Table

INDICATIVE COSTS ACCRUED UP TO 30.09.92

			Pe	Per Agreement	ıt	up to 30.09.92	.09.92	
ITEM	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QUANTITY UNIT RATE	UNIT RATE DM	TOTAL	QUANTITY	TOTAL	Remarks
	CARRIED FORWARD				697,800		525,900	
3.5	Consultant's cost for investigations in USA and China							
3.5.1	Air travel, C-class	trip	3.0	7,400	22,200	(6200)	18,600	
3.5.2	Hotel accomodation	night	42.0	200	8,400		4,268	
3.5.3	Miscellaneous expenses: ground travel, guidance fees etc.	to be detailed	1.0	20,000	20,000	1.0	20,000	
3.6	Provisional sums for Consultant's costs for project related information tours abroad and conferences		2					
3.6.1	Air-travel, C-class	trip	4.0	5,300	21,200	2.0	10,600	10,600 Study Tour Europe 04.04.92
3.6.2	Hotel accomodation	night	25.0	200	5,000		2,911	
3.6.3	Miscellaneous expenses : ground travel, conference fees	to be detailed	1.0	4,000	4,000	0.525	2,100	
				×		2		
	SUBTOTAL ITEM 3			MQ	778,600		584,379	

Table C.3-4

INDICATIVE COSTS ACCRUED UP TO 30.09.92

	Remarks										
0.09.92	TOTAL		98,650	74,100	21,500	180,000	115,500	75,000			564,750
up to 30.09.92	QUANTITY		100%	e	100%	80%	%02	100%			
I	TOTAL		98,650	98,800	21,500	225,000	165,000	75,000		-	683,950
Per Agreement	QUANTITY UNIT RATE		98,650	24,700	21,500	225,000	165,000	75,000			MQ
d.	QUANTITY		1.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			
	UNIT		l.s.	I.s./ per house	l.s.	l.s.	l.s.	<u>.s.</u>			
	DESCRIPTION	LUMPSUMS FOR SPECIAL ITEMS AND TASKS	Office installation incl. national and international phonelines and equipment as per (Section L items 1.1.1, 1.1.2, 1.1.4-1.1.8, 1.10-1.14)	Installation of houses incl. furniture, local phone	Miscellaneous equipment (Section L items 3.1.4, 3.1.5, 3.1.6, 3.1.8, 3.1.9)	Remote sensing study (details c.f. table A-3)	2-D mathematical flow model (details c.f. table A-4)	Scour investigation study (details c.f. table A-5)	OBSERVATION :	Information in brackets refers to Scope of Works, Section L	SUBTOTAL ITEM 4
	ITEM	4.	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.6			

FAP 21/22, ADM-FIN REP-3

dd

C - 11

[

3-5
U.
ble
Ta

INDICATIVE COSTS ACCRUED UP TO 30.09.92

	Remarks		without additional test sites		without additional test sites				
.09.92	TOTAL DM		65,000 witho	40,000	95,000 witho		 	 	
up to 30.09.92	QUANTITY		50%	1.0	1.0				
t	TOTAL		130,000	55,000	80,000	75,000			
Per Agreement	QUANTITY UNIT RATE		130,000	55,000	80,000	75,000			
<u> </u>	QUANTITY		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			
	UNIT		piece	piece	piece	piece			
	DESCRIPTION	SUBCONTRACTS (On actuals, payment on presented invoices of subcontractors, but not exceeding the amounts as indicated)	Physical modelling of 3 test sites according to the ToR as per Scope of Works, Section 0-1	Subsoil investigations according to the ToR as per Scope of Works, Section 0-2	Topographic and hydrometric surveys acc. to the ToR as per Scope of Works, Section 0-3	Test rig for filter investigations according to the ToR as per Scope of Works, Section 0-4 (see also Table A-6)			
	ITEM	5.	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4			

CL

Table C.3-6

INDICATIVE COSTS ACCRUED UP TO 30.09.92

			4	Per Agreement	-	up to 30.09.92	.09.92	
ITEM	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	QUANTITY	QUANTITY UNIT RATE	TOTAL	QUANTITY	TOTAL	Remarks
<u>ى</u>	CLIENT'S TRAINING / INFORMATION TOURS / CONFERENCES (Provisional sums for Client's costs for project related training, information tours abroad and conferences)							
6.1	Investigations in USA and China							
6.1.1	Air travel; C-class (reimb.)	trip	4.0	7,400	29,600	(6200)	24,800	
6.1.2	Hotel accomodation (reimb.)	night	56.0	200	11,200		5,833	
6.1.3	Daily allowance	day	60.0	150	6,000	76	11,400	
6.2	Other information tours abroad and conferences							
6.2.1	Air travel to Europe and other places; C-class (reimb.)	trip	10.0	5,300	53,000	4.0	21,200	
6.2.2	Allowance and accomodation for events at the Consultant's home office headquarters (weekly LS.)	week	10.0	2,500	25,000			
6.2.3	Allowance at other places (daily LS.)	day	45.0	150	6,750	64.0	9,600	
6.2.4	Hotel accomodation acc. to item 6.2.3 (reimb.)	night	40.0	200	8,000		5,822	
6.2.5	Miscellaneous costs : conference fees, ground travel etc. (reimb.)	to be detailed	1.0	5,000	5,000		4,600	
6.3	Special training abroad	to be detailed	1.0	30,000	30,000			
	SUBTOTAL ITEM 6			MQ	177,550		83,255	

Table C.3-7

INDICATIVE COSTS FOR EXPATRIATE PROFESSIONAL STAFF ACCRUED UP TO 30.09.92

				Agreel	ment/Rev	Agreement/Revision No.3				up to 30.09.92	76.60.0		
No. Function	Person		Fees (DM)			Allowances (DM)	(MU) sa		Feet	Fees (DM)	Allowanc	Allowances (DM)	
		MM	MM-Rate	Amount	WW	MM-Rate	Amount	Total	* WW	Amount	* WW	Amount	Remarks
	Dr. H. Brühl	15 50	29 500	457 250	12 00	3.500	42.000	499.250	11.30	333.350	8.80	30,800	
			000		N EO	3 500	15 750	186 250		160 270		14 595	
Advisory board	Contraction of the	00.0	2000,100	30 750	P.+	00010	20110	39 750					
I echnical Home Ullice support		<u>.</u>	000'02	001'00					00 1				
Technical Home Office Support	C Cottereau	2.00	26,500	53,000				53,000	5.00	132,500			
Technical Home Office Support	M Borchert	1.00	26,500	26,500				26,500					
Technical Home Office Support	F Koch	2.50	26,500	66,250				66,250			25		
Chief Resid. Eng. (Proj. Manager)													
Team Leader FAP 21	H Kamar	11.00	25,400	279,400	11.00	3,500	38,500	317,900	7.13	181,102	7.13	24,955	
Senior Hydraulic Design Engineer	K Schröder	1.50	25,400	38,100	1.50	3,500	5,250	43,350					
Modelling Expert 1	M v d Wal	11.00	24,600	270,600	11.00	3,500	38,500	309,100	7.84	192,864	7.84	27,440	
Physical Modelling Expert 1	E Doutriaux	6.00	20,200	121,200	6.00	3,500	21,000	142,200	3.10	62,620	3.10	10,850	
Hydrologist 1	G Fleury	1.50	23,100	34,650	1.50	3,500	5,250	39,900	1.50	34,650	1.50	5,250	
Morphologist 1	G J Maassen	3.50	28,900	101,150	3.50	3,500	12,250	113,400	2.37	68,493	2.37	8,295	
Surveyor 1	J Heise	10.50	20,200	212,100	9.50	3,500	33,250	245,350	7.67	154,934	6.67	23,345	
Subsoil Expert 1	W Schönung	4.00	20,200	80,800	4.00	3,500	14,000	94,800	4.07	82,214	4.07	14,245	
Construction Management Expert 1 C Netzeband	C Netzeband	6.50	25,400	165,100	6.50	3,500	22,750	187,850	2.97	75,438	2.97	10,395	
Socio Economist 1	C Potin	3.00	25,400	76,200	3.00	3,500	10,500	86,700	1.67	42,418	1.67	5,845	
Environmental Expert 1	C Bertrand	2.50	20,200	50,500	2.50	3,500	8,750	59,250	1.27	25,654	1.27	4,445	
Mechanical Engineer 1	L Ormières	1.00	23,100	23,100	1.00	3,500	3,500	26,600					
Economist 1	F de Cock	2.00	25,400	50,800	2.00	3,500	2,000	57,800	1.10	27,940	1.10	3,850	
Team Leader FAP 22	F v d Knaap	10.50	24,600	258,300	9.50	3,500	33,250	291,550	9.03	222,138	8.03	28,105	
Low Cost River Training Specialist	P v Groen	1.00	24,600	24,600	1.00	3,500	3,500	28,100	1.00	24,600	1.00	3,500	
Land Reclamation Expert 1	E Elizechea	2.50	23,100	57,750	2.50	3,500	8,750	66,500	1.74	40,194	1.74	6,090	
Agric. Div. Specialist	P Lagache	2.00	25,400	50,800	2.00	3,500	7,000	57,800	0.77	19,558	0.77	2,695	
IMT Economist	J M Deplaix	1.00	25,400	25,400	1.00	3,500	3,500	28,900					
IWT Engineer	D d'Amaud	1.00	24,600	24,600	1.00	3,500	3,500	28,100					
River Training Engineer	F Koch	1.50	26,500	39,750	1.50	3,500	5,250	45,000					
TOTAL		111 50		5 708 150	00 00		000 575	3 141 150	74 70	1 880 937	64.20	OUT ACC	

FAP 21/22, ADM-FIN REP-3

* MM taken from Table B.1-1

(TE

ł	8
1	ň
1	5
	d)
1.00	ň
	9
	_

INDICATIVE COSTS FOR LOCAL PROFESSIONAL STAFF UP TO 30.09.92

			Agree	Agreemeent/Revision No.3	n No.3	up to 30.09.92	0.09.92
No.	Function	Person		Fees (DM) incl. Allowances	S	Fees incl. Al	Fees (DM) incl. Allowances
			* WW	MM-Rate	Amount	* WW	Amount
0	Advisory Board	(BUET)	2.00	7,000	14,000		
1 00	Technical Home Office Support	Dr S K Chowdhury / A S M Quasem	6.00	4,650	27,900	5.00	23,250
4.2	Deputy Project Manager	S M Mansur	14.50	4,800	69,600	10.53	50,544
5.2	Hvdraulic Design Engineer - 2	A Q M Ali	13.00	3,800	49,400	8.80	33,440
6.2	Modelling Expert - 2	J Islam	12.00	4,000	48,000	8.00	32,000
7.2	Phys. Modelling Expert - 2	Q Saifuddin	7.50	4,000	30,000	4.00	16,000
8.2	Hydrologist - 2	Z H Khan	4.50	4,000	18,000	3.00	12,000
9.2	Morphologist - 2	Q Saifuddin/M Salahuddin	9.50	4,300	40,850	8.00	34,400
10.2	Surveyor - 2	A Kamal	9.50	4,350	41,325	6.30	27,405
11.2	Subsoil Expert - 2/3	Mazharul Haque/Ayub Hasan	7.00	4,000	28,000	7.17	28,680
12.2	Construction Management Expert - 2	Mohiuddin	3.00	4,200	12,600	2.83	11,886
13.2	Socio Economist - 2	K Nizamuddin	4.50	4,300	19,350	2.60	11,180
13.3	Land Acquis. Spec.	M A Jabbar	4.00	4,000	16,000	2.53	10,120
14.2	Environmental Expert - 2	A Huq Bhuiya	3.00	4,000	12,000	0.83	3,320
15.2	Mechanical Engineer - 2	M Rahman	2.00	4,000	8,000		
16.2	Economist - 2	M L Rahman	2.00	3,800	7,600	1.00	3,800
17.2	River Training Engineer	S R Khan	12.00	4,000	48,000	8.57	34,280
18.2	Land Reclamation Expert - 2	A Mia	4.50	4,000	18,000	3.63	14,520
19	Legal Advisor	H I Bhuiyan	1.00	4,200	4,200	0.50	2,100
20.2	Agricultural Dev. Specialist-2	NN	1.00	4,000	4,000		
21.2	IWT Economist-2	N Haq	1.00	4,200	4,200		
			-				
	TOTAL		123.50		521,025	83.29	348,925

* MM taken from Table B.1-2

FAP 21/22, ADM-FIN REP-3

14

4 FINANCIAL FORECAST

The financial forecast refers to the final contract amount and will be calculated according to the items which at the present state of knowledge are expected to substantially differ from the estimated values in the Consulting Agreement, including the additional Task 34A (Navigation Study) and the pre-investigation of two additional test sites. Items which at present seem to result approximately in the estimated figures or items where no judgement can be made so far are not included in the table.

Item	Description	Reason for Difference to Agreement	Estimated Amount (DM)
1.1	Staff	Change of Schedule from Agreement to Revision-2 Change of Schedule from Revision-2 to Revision-3	+ 126,225 + 173,250
2.3/ 2.4	Accomm.	Rearrangement House/Hotel, additional staff, but see also 4.2	+ 18,000
2.5	Jeep running costs	Late delivery of Project vehicles saving of abt 10 veh. months	- 19,800
2.6	Sedan running costs	Dito abt 6 veh. months	- 9,240
2.7	Car rental costs	Dito abt 16 veh. months reason: delivery time two months more than at time of proposal	+ 48,000
2.9	Reporting	Client needs substantially more reports than included in the Agreement	+ 30,000
2.14 (new)	Video, 1 hr., commented	Equiv. to item B.2.9.7 of Consulting Agreement. It was felt necessary to include that item also in the Planning Study, c.f. Inception Report p.3-32.	+ 10,000
3.1	Purchase of vehicles	Better prices than estimated for proposal	- 40,000
3.3	Air travel EUR-DAC	Staffing Schedule Agreement/Revision 2	+ 10,000
3.4	Air freight	More chargeable weight due to bulkiness of cargo, but much better rates	+ 12,500
3.5/ 3.6	Consultant's study tours	Fewer trips, better rates	- 15,000
4.2	House installation	Rearrangement Houses/Hotel	- 24,700
4.4	Remote sensing study 2-D Mathematical model	Additional test sites Additional test sites	+ 30,000 + 30,000
5.1	Physical model tests	Additional test sites	+ 25,000
5.2			
5.2	Subsoil investigations	Lower price due to stiff competition	- 15,000
5.3	Topographic/Hydrographic survey	 Additional (fourth) test site required second subcontract. Hence double mobilization cost, higher unit price Checks of additional areas (Kazipur & Sariakandi) 	+ 15,000 + 25,000
		(pi & outanito)	20,000
		Balance	+ 429,235

According to the present forecast the cost for the Planning Study would amount to:

Item 1 to 6	approx.	DM	6,780,000
Item 7		DM	0
Contingencies	approx.	DM	220,000
Contract Sum		DM	7,000,000

